

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109570
Title of the Manuscript:	Groundwater depth prediction based on wavelet decomposition-LSTM network
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.The manuscript presents interesting scientific results in which an important practical problem is solved. At the same time, the authors used an original method of forecasting a non-stationary time series. The presented calculations show a high accuracy of one-step prediction. It would be interesting to see the results of forecasting for two or more steps. In addition, it would be useful to show the level of non-stationarity using the Dickey-Fuller test.</p> <p>2.The title of the article corresponds to the content</p> <p>3.The abstract of the paper provides a general orientation for the reader about the content of the article.</p> <p>4.The article is generally correctly structured, and the scientific apparatus is generally used correctly.</p> <p>5.The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6.Literary sources are quite sufficient. References to literary sources are correct, and almost all of them are modern.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leonid Galchynsky
Department, University & Country	Igor Sikorsky National Technical University of Ukraine, Ukraine