

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CJAST_109825 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | OPTIMIZATION OF LOW CALORIE FUNCTIONAL SHRIKHAND WITH NATURAL SWEETENERS, FRUIT PULP AND FRUIT PEEL EXTRACT |
| Type of the Article | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Title seems sound and good which add scientific knowledge for scientific communicate in future researchers.</p> <p>Abstract: needs modification so as to be self-contained.</p> <p>Introduction section still no comprehensive for easily understandable for why you work? which mean the problem not clearly defined which used as stand point for your objective you want to meet.</p> <p>With increased consumer awareness of nutrition and an increase in the population suffering from obesity and diabetes lack of referencing the passage you depict here.</p> <p>Stevia is an herb that contains many vital vitamins you stated so which vitamin? at least scientific community needs clarity here.</p> <p>In many of your referencing section there is punctuation error of using full stop before stipulated reference cheek it more please look example from your manuscript. The addition of guava adds tremendous nutritional content, a nice flavour and medicinal qualities. [24]. Here also I have a question of did you referencing manually or software were used please it seems manually cited nice if you can use either endnote or Mendeley citation or others.</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS</p> <p>In this section also still there is lack of citing methods and clarification of methods.</p> <p>Preparation of raw materials for the functional shrikhand</p> <p>This section completely missed without describing how?</p> <p>You stated as "It was stirred twice a day" in extraction section by what you can stirrer due used magnetic stirrer or any shaker? If so at what rpm? Even the brand of equipment must be clearly depicted so as the method you used will be reproducible.</p> <p>The fruits were rinsed with clear water to get off dirt before being cut into medium-sized sections followed by blanching for 5 minutes prior to sieving the fine pulp [15]. Seems good procedure to wash sample before cut, but why not this left in the other plant parts?</p> <p>Preparation of Functional Shrikhand</p> <p>The Chart 1 seems good flow chart but must be cited in text citation.</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION SECTION</p> <p>The result and discussion section are better and the usage of statistical analysis also good, but the still it needs more clarity and literature comparison in some section only.</p> <p>Please make the table with standard of publication. The result and discussion section must have published work comparison and must show why the current study is important to scientific community as reference work. Inconclusion section it lacks future research direction and recommendation of further work.</p> <p>Reference section still it is vague for me whether it is cited manually or using software. It also lacks consistency. Eg lack of page number and volume in some reference.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Of course, the language quality is good even if it needs minor modification to be qualitable more. | |
| Optional/General comments | Still, I don't hesitate the title is very good if well modified and structured more. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name: | Terfo Yilma Mikre |
| Department, University & Country | Haramaya University, Ethiopia |