

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_109946
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of the state of knowledge of the flora of Vaupés (Colombia)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, Researchers find the highly prioritize field as well as highly neglected field in scientific literature. So, further researches focus on highly neglected field related to flora of Vaupés.</p> <p>Title is good.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Instead of "Search procedure" should write "Literature Search Procedure" or any others.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>For the review articles, the references are not sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language quality is good. I have commented the minor grammar suggestion in main document.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the research question municipality wise publications is not focused but in result section it is also prioritize why?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sajita Dhakal
Department, University & Country	National Herbarium and Plant Laboratories, Nepal