

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_109105
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic and operational evaluation of the use of two manual rotary and cono weeders in an intensive rice growing system (SRI) in the Central zone of Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The importance of this article is related to study on the evaluation of wheeled manual weeders in an intensive rice cultivation system (SRI) in the Central zone of Burkina Faso was to contribute to increasing the productivity of irrigated rice in Burkina Faso.</p> <p>clear, so I recommended to keep it</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>Somewhat</p> <p>Yes, but may be need to add further explanation</p> <p>The reference were taken in the presented study is not recently new and may the author ask to add some new bibliography</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is acceptable, but some error are found and must be re-corrected</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ziad Shakeeb Al Sarraf</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Mosul, Iraq</b>