

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_109410
Title of the Manuscript:	WOMEN FARMERS' AWARENESS IN STUNTING PREVENTION ACTIONS THROUGH THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND EMPOWERMENT PROGRAM (PRO-BABAYA) IN NORTH SAMARINDA DISTRICT, SAMARINDA CITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is important to explore the community programs in a scientific way. It is a gate to explore new dimensions for future community development programs.</p> <p>The title is too lengthy and complex for research here is a suggested title "Stunting Prevention Awareness among Female Farmers through the Empowerment program (PRO-BABAYA) IN NORTH SAMARINDA DISTRICT, SAMARINDA CITY"</p> <p>The abstract is not comprehensive it should not be suggestive or opinion based rather it must be concrete and objective in nature.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct as research tools are utilised to conduct it.</p> <p>The references are not sufficient there must be more references and should be from most recent researches.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Sentences must be short. Long sentences are common in this article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Kamran Iqbal
Department, University & Country	Pakistan