

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_108632
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF ANXIETY AND LOCOMOTOR BEHAVIOUR IN HIGH SALT FED MICE TREATED WITH L-ARGININE AND LOSARTAN
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The issue that the manuscript addresses is relevant to the scientific community and a health problem that has not been solved. The title is suitable. The abstract is coherent with the content and the reader can understand it easily. The structure is appropriated. Nevertheless, the manuscript presents problems that should be solved before being accepted as a scientifically correct manuscript. The methodology section should be more precisely exposed. Think about the reproducibility of the experiment. Besides, you should include the behavioural indicators of evaluation and their meaning in the context of your study. In results, it is necessary to show quantitative test results, p values, and effect size. In the manuscript, these measures are shown only sometimes but not in others. In discussions, you should not include behavioural tests descriptions, these data should appear in methods. References might be revised not only in form but also to include more recent literature (from the present and last year). The writing should be revised in order to correct several mistakes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I think should be revised.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	María de los Angeles Bacigalupe
Department, University & Country	Argentina