

# CHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND MOISTURE SORPTION ISOTHERM OF SMOKE- DRIED CATFISH (*Clarias gariepinus*) AND MACKEREL (*Trachurus trachurus*) PRODUCED WITH A MODIFIED SMOKING KILN

## ABSTRACT:

The effectiveness of a modified smoking kiln, the smoking quality, and the moisture sorption characteristics of smoked dried catfish and mackerel were all investigated in this study. Cleansed, eviscerated, and then diced into smaller pieces, the raw fish (catfish and mackerel) were then weighed and packaged in plastic bags after being placed in the smoking kiln for smoking. Smoked dried catfish protein, ash, fat and moisture ranged between 73.37 and 73.80 %, 5.00 and 5.40% 10.30 and 11.05% and 8.05 and 8.12% respectively and for smoked dried mackerel 70.58 and 70.67%, 5.00 and 5.94%, 12.99 and 13.15%, and 6.14 and 6.37% respectively, while the moisture sorption isotherm confirmed typed III BET isotherm with marked hysteresis at all temperatures. Smoke dried catfish and mackerel's type III isotherm is as expected given that food items high in protein have been observed to display type III forms. For the Henderson, BET, GAB, and Oswin models, the values of the root means square ranged from 3.4 to 6.8, 4.8 to 9.1, 5.9 to 13.9, and 4.1 to 13.2, respectively. The coefficients of determination for these models ranged from 0.95 to 0.99%, 0.93 to 0.96%, 0.83 to 0.94, and 0.91 to 0.94. For catfish and mackerel, the isosteric heats of sorption for the adsorption and desorption pathways, respectively, were 4.55 and 1.22 kJ/mol and 4.07 and 1.10 kJ/mol, respectively. With an increase in temperature from 20 to 40 °C, the apparent sorbate surface area fell for catfish from 99.38 to 85.02 m<sup>2</sup>/g solid and for mackerel from 76.01 to 66.25 m<sup>2</sup>/g solid. According to the short processing time the smoking kiln performed the basic tasks of smoking and drying the fishes very well, which also contained high-quality nutrients.

## Introduction:

Vertebrates that live in freshwater or saltwater habitats include fish. Due to its high protein content, fish is a significant food source for people. In comparison to pork or beef, it has the advantages of being affordable, highly acceptable, and having little to no religious bias [1]. According to [2], fish have a great biological value and include all important amino acids. Catfish play a significant role in the economy. A number of different species of pelagic fish, primarily but not solely those belonging to the family *Scombridae*, go by the common name "mackerel." The word mackerel comes from the old French word maquerel, which meant a pimp or procurer. Once collected, fish is a particularly perishable item that may not reach the intended population in the proper quality and quantity due to the high rate of biochemical changes that occur as a result of subpar processing, packaging, and storage methods [3,4]. There are several preservation techniques that can be used to lengthen the shelf life of caught fish. Fish are preserved through smoking or smoke curing, which combines drying with the buildup of naturally occurring compounds brought on by the thermal decomposition of wood. Fish is smoked not only to preserve it but also to create a flavorful, nutritious product that is well-liked by consumers. Phenols determine how well the fish is smoked. In the traditional technique of smoking to preserve fish, phenolic compounds are of considerable importance for the preservation

**Comment [h1]:** Detailed methods should be avoided in abstract. It is required to give the conditions of moisture sorption study also.

**Comment [h2]:** Should be replaced with was confirmed the type III

**Comment [h3]:** Should be experimental temperatures.

**Comment [h4]:** what

**Comment [h5]:** % should be deleted

**Comment [h6]:** kJ/mol

**Comment [h7]:** should be rewrite the sentence

**Comment [h8]:** space between two is required

**Comment [h9]:** incomplete sentence

**Comment [h10]:** of what

**Comment [w11]:** delete the space

**Comment [w12]:** correct it

and organoleptic properties of smoked products [5]. A thermally insulated chamber, or kiln, is a kind of oven that generates temperatures high enough to finish some processes including smoking, drying, hardening, or chemical transformations. As a result, it was necessary to create equipment that was more effective and economical in order to enhance fish processing and lessen the laboriousness of the majority of the methods used today. Studying the moisture sorption isotherm of smoke dried fish is crucial due to the importance of moisture in foods and the fact that the majority of spoiling agents readily rely on moisture [6]. The moisture sorption isotherm (MSI) is a curve that plots the amount of water adsorbed or desorbed by a substance as a function of equilibrium vapour pressure, water activity, or relative humidity at a specific constant temperature [3]. The study's main goals were to assess the effectiveness of a modified smoking kiln and identify the chemical composition and moisture absorption properties of smoke-dried catfish as well as mackerel.

#### Materials:

Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) and Mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) were purchased at Nsukka, Main Market in Enugu State, Nigeria. The customized smoking kiln was conceived and built in the Department of Food Science and Technology at the University of Nigeria, Nsukka, while the firewood and sawdust came from a timbershed in Nsukka.

**Smoking kiln description and operation:** The kiln was constructed of three horizontally stacked chambers, as illustrated in Figures 1A, 1B, 2A and 2B with the lid (cover) at the top, a perforated iron slab underneath the chambers (layers), and a brick fire pit below the perforated iron slab. Galvanized wire mesh (2 by 2 mm size), carpet aluminum, plain aluminum, glass fiber for lagging, bricks, and cement were among the materials used in the construction. The chambers are rectangular-shaped containers with a thick cover made of plain aluminum on the inside and carpet aluminum on the outside. Each chamber has two layers of galvanized wire mesh laid out horizontally. Glass fiber is utilized for lagging between the plain and carpet aluminum in the internal and external parts of the cover, respectively. Glass fiber is used to reduce heat loss from the system since plain aluminum, despite its capacity to reflect most heat, acts as a conductor. Additionally, the carpet aluminum reduces heat loss and guards against overheating and handler burns on the equipment's exterior. Given that iron is susceptible to rust, galvanized wire mesh was employed. The lid (cover) was also constructed from the same materials, but it also contains a chimney (a small opening at the top) to let some smoke through. The brick fire pit, complete with an air entrance and wood shavings, was raised from the ground to seven (7) inches in height, 56 inches in length, and 33 inches in width. The built bricks were covered with a perforated iron slab grid with staggered perforations to prevent the contamination of the fish with wood ash and excessive smoke deposit. The kiln chambers and the lid were then placed on the perforated iron slab.

Comment [w13]: correction required

Comment [w14]: is it absorption or adsorption. check it

Comment [w15]: spacing req.

Comment [w16]: inch..

**Sample preparation:** Prior to smoking, the two fish species—catfish and mackerel—were cleaned, decapitated, and sliced into smaller sizes (70 g each), as shown in Figure 3.

**Smoking operation:** The cooked fish was loaded onto wire mesh in the modified smoking kiln and allowed to smoke while the rate of moisture loss was monitored until the weight remained constant. The smoking kiln's three chambers' temperatures were also measured every hour while the fish was being smoked. The fire woods were first lit and let to burn for a limited period of time before applying the wet sawdust to smolder the flames. By changing the amount of firewood and sawdust in the chambers where the fish were kept, the temperature was kept below 100 °C to avoid the fish's skin from drying out.




Fig. 1A. Schematic diagram of a modified smoking kiln showing the front view (all dimensions are in

Key: 1. = Chamber one; 2. = Chamber two; 3. = Chamber three; 4. = Fire place; 5. = Perforated iron slab; 6. = Perforated iron slab's handle; 7. = Bricks wall; 8. = Lid's handle; 9. = Lid (cover); 10. = Exhaust; 11= Thermometer hole.

Table 1. Dimension of the modified smoking kiln

Material	Length (inches)	Breath (inches)	Thickness or Height (inches)
wire mesh	38.0	16.0	-

Comment [w17]: should be inch

each chamber	44.5	24.5	7.5
perforated iron slab	46.0	25.0	3.5
bricks	56.0	33.0	7.2
lid	10.6	44.7	16.5




**Figure 1B.** Photograph of the modified smoking kiln

**Proximate analysis of smoke dried catfish and mackerel:** The hot air oven method outlined by [7] was used to evaluate moisture content. The method outlined by [7] was used to determine the levels of protein, fat, and ash. The percentage difference between 100 % of the total of the contents of moisture, fat, ash, and protein was used to calculate the sample's carbohydrate content.

**Comment [w18]:** all fig should be uniform and consistent

**Comment [w19]:** rewrite it






Figure 2. Production of smoke dried Fish (Catfish and Mackerel)

**Sorption experiments:** Determination of equilibrium moisture content of the samples was determined, and the data were subjected to the selected models.

**Comment [h20]:** at what conditions?

**Experimental procedure:** Integral gravimetric method [8] was the principle used in the determination of equilibrium moisture content (EMC).

**Comment [h21]:** rewrite it with proper correction

**Fitting of EMC data to various isotherm models:** Experimental data were fitted to four moisture sorption isotherm (MSI) models which included Hendersson and Oswin, GAB and Brauneur Emmet Teller as shown in Table 2. Linear regression analysis was used for the linearized form of the equations to calculate the respective constants and coefficient of determinations ( $R^2$ ).

**Comment [h22]:** please check it and rewrite correctly

The percentage root mean square of error (% RMSE) was calculated for each model using:

$$\% \text{ RMS} = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{M_{exp} - M_{cal}}{M_{exp}}\right)^2}}{n} \times 100$$

Where

$m_{exp}$  = experimental M,

**Comment [h23]:** what is M.

$M_{calc.}$  = calculated M,

$N$  = number of water activity

Table 2. Models fitted to the experimental data

Model	Equation	Linearized form of the equation
BET	$\left(\frac{aw}{mcl - aw} = \frac{1}{moc}\right) + \left \frac{c+1}{moc}\right aw$	$aw/m(1-aw) = Baw + A$
Henderson	$M = \frac{-1}{AT} \ln(1 - aw)B^1$	$\ln m = \ln(AT) + B \ln(1 - aw)$
Oswin	$M = \frac{A[aw]^n}{1-aw}$	$\ln m = \ln A + B \ln(aw/1-aw)$
GAB	$M = MoABaw / (1-Aaw)(1-Aaw + ABaw)$	$\ln M = \ln MoABaw - \ln((1-Aaw)(1-Aaw + ABaw))$

Where in BET, M = the equilibrium moisture contents, Mo = the monolayer moisture content, c = constant and a<sub>w</sub> is the water activity. For Henderson, M is the equilibrium moisture content, T is the absolute temperature of experimentation, a<sub>w</sub> is the water activity, A & B are constants. While a<sub>w</sub> = water activity, A and n are constants, M is the equilibrium moisture content for Oswin model, A and B are GAB constants.

**Net isosteric heat of sorption:** The net isosteric heat of sorption for smoke dried catfish and mackerel were determined from **isosteresis** plots of ln C against 1/T [9] using the formula:

**Comment [h24]:** correct it

$$\ln C = \ln K + Q_s / RT \text{ -----2}$$

Where Q<sub>s</sub> is the net isosteric heat of sorption estimated from the slope, k is the intercept, R the universal gas constant (0.008314 kg/mol) and T is the absolute temperature of sorption.

**Comment [h25]:** write proper unit and what is C. capital K in equation but small k in description

**Apparent sorbate surface areas for sorption:** The apparent sorbate surface areas of sorption (m<sup>2</sup>/g solid) for the samples were calculated using the [10] equation which states that:

**Comment [h26]:** area

$$S_o = 1 / (M_s) (N_o A M_o) \text{ -----3}$$

Where S<sub>o</sub> is the apparent sorbate area for monolayer sorption, M<sub>s</sub> is the molecular weight of water (18), N<sub>o</sub> is the Avogadro's constant (6.023 × 10<sup>23</sup> molecules/mol), M<sub>o</sub> is the adsorption and desorption of BET monolayer moisture value (g water/ g solid) and A is the apparent surface area of one molecules of water (1.10 × 10<sup>-19</sup>/m<sup>2</sup>).

**Comment [h27]:** correct it

**Statistical Analysis:** A completely randomized design (CRD) was used in the investigation. The Statistical Package for Social Statistics (SPSS) was used to perform an analysis of variance on the study's data, and Microsoft Excel was used to perform a linear regression analysis on the moisture sorption data in order to determine the relevant constants and coefficients of determination (R<sup>2</sup>). [11] used the Duncan's Multiple Range Test to separate the means, and significance was recognized at p>0.05.

**Comment [h28]:** correction required

**Comment [h29]:** correct it

**Comment [h30]:** was used in.....

**Result and Discussion: Proximate composition of smoke dried catfish and mackerel:** The proximate composition of smoke dried catfish and mackerel are presented in Table 3.

**Comment [h31]:** separate paragraph required

**Moisture content:** For mackerel smoked in chamber one (1) and catfish smoked in chamber three, respectively, the moisture contents ranged from 6.14% (wet basis) for mackerel to 8.12% (w.b). Between the three chambers of the kiln, there were no appreciable differences in the moisture content of catfish and mackerel (p > 0.05). The samples' average moisture content coincided with a previous research by [12]. Since the majority of fish spoiling agents are moisture dependant [6, 13], the low moisture content obtained is essential for the preservation of the fish. Therefore, it is essential to research the smoke dried fish's moisture sorption properties in order to properly design processing, packaging, and storage systems that can control moisture uptake.

**Comment [h32]:** rewrite the sentences as well as data correctly so that it make meaning ful.

**Fat content:** According to Table 3, the fat content of smoke-dried catfish and mackerel varied from 10.30% (w.b.) for ~~catfish smoked~~ smoke dried catfish ~~in chamber one~~ to 13.17% (w.b.) for mackerel smoked ~~in chamber two~~. Smoked mackerel often included a little more fat than smoke dried catfish.

**Comment [h33]:** Rewrite this correctly

Large amounts of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids with up to six double bonds can be found in fish fat, which is also typically high in vitamin A and D. It offers advantages over eating red meat, which poses health risks but has lower oxidation resistance [3].

**Protein:** For catfish and mackerel, the protein content ranged from 70.58% (weight basis wb) to 73.80% (weight basis-wb). Between the three chambers of the kiln, there was no discernible difference in the protein content of the catfish or the mackerel ( $p > 0.05$ ). This can be due to the heating method's minimal impact on protein quantity [14].

**Ash:** Mackerel smoked in chamber three had an average ash level of 5.00% (wb), while mackerel smoked in chamber one of the kiln had an average ash content of 5.94% (wb). These findings revealed that ash levels in all samples were very consistent or equivalent, with mackerel having a little higher level. This may be due to mackerel's marine habitat, where it comes into touch with numerous minerals. Ash content is a measurement of the mineral contents, which are crucial for the body's healthy operation [1].

**Moisture sorption characteristics of smoke dried fish:** Figures 4a to 4f display the moisture sorption isotherm (MSI) plots of smoke-dried catfish and mackerel. Figures 4a to 4c show the smoke-dried catfish isotherms, whereas Figures 3d to 3f show the smoke-dried mackerel isotherms, both at 20 to 40 °C. The smoke-dried catfish and mackerel's moisture adsorption and desorption isotherms displayed type III BET isotherm. It was shown that the equilibrium moisture contents (EMC) for both adsorption and desorption increased with an increase in water activity at constant temperature and decreased as the temperature increased. This increase in EMC with higher water activities was anticipated since the water vapour gradient increases when more water is accessible in the environment. hence the higher will be the equilibrium moisture content [15, 16]. Since dietary items high in protein have been reported to exhibit the type III morphologies, the type III isotherm displayed by smoke dried catfish and mackerel is as expected [17, 18]. For Bambara groundnut and guna seeds, respectively, [19, 20] also reported BET isotherm type III. According to [6, 3], proteins have many sorption sites where each water molecule makes hydrogen bonds with up to four nearby water molecules. This results in a high value of EMC for protein-rich materials. The desorption equilibrium moisture content for all the samples was higher than the adsorption path demonstrating hysteresis effect at all the temperatures taken into consideration, as seen by the moisture sorption isotherm plots. The majority of foods exhibit the hysteresis effect [21, 19, 22].

**Comment [h34]:** Needs to be corrected

**Comment [h35]:** Where is figure 3 and its discription

**Comment [h36]:** Where is data table or graph for EMC value at different temperature and relative humidity conditions?

**Comment [h37]:** Where is figure 3a to 3c

**Comment [h38]:** Rewrite it and separate figures discussion required according to the products.

**Comment [h39]:** It is limited to 45% RH but in your graph it is up to 100% RH or water activity 1.

**Comment [h40]:** Figure showed..... content (EMC)

**Comment [h41]:** At particular water activity

**Comment [h42]:** Correct it

**Comment [h43]:** Correct it

**Comment [h44]:** Rewrite it.



The desorption equilibrium moisture content for all the samples was higher than the adsorption path demonstrating hysteresis effect at all the temperatures taken into consideration, as seen by the moisture sorption isotherm plots. Most foods have been found to have a hysteresis effect [19, 22]. This effect's cause hasn't been fully explained. Researchers have made several unsuccessful attempts to determine the true equilibrium moisture content.

**Comment [h45]:** Repeated in the text so please check it and remove also.


**Comment [h46]:** Need to be rewrite

Table 3: Proximate composition of smoke dried fish

Comment [h47]: Is it only smoked dried fish?

	Moisture content %	Fat %	Crude protein	Ash %	Carbohydrates
A <sub>1</sub>	8.050 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.100	10.303 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.103	73.79 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.021	5.16 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.020	2.7 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.21
A <sub>2</sub>	8.160 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.100	11.033 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.057	73.37 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.459	5.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.015	1.74 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.35
A <sub>3</sub>	8.120 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.100	11.047 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.058	73.80 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.015	5.40 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.046	1.21 <sup>d</sup> ± 0.16
B <sub>1</sub>	6.140 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.200	13.153 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.016	70.67 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.020	5.94 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.010	4.08 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.12
B <sub>2</sub>	6.370 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.459	13.170 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.100	71.02 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.017	5.11 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.017	4.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.11
B <sub>3</sub>	6.150 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.100	12.987 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.057	70.58 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.026	5.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.017	5.3 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.01

A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>3</sub> are catfishes in lower, middle and upper chamber respectively while B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>3</sub> are mackerels in the three chambers respectively. Means within the column with common superscript letters are not significantly different (p < 0.05).



Comment [h48]: All figures required temperature of experiment, it is missing but in description indicates the emc temperature dependent. So please revise it.

Figure 4. Moisture sorption isotherms of smoke dried fishes

## Legend

EMC = equilibrium moisture content, Des = desorption, Ads = adsorption

According to ~~some~~, the adsorption path represented actual equilibrium, whereas according to ~~others~~, the desorption path did [23]. According to [19], the composition of the food material, the temperature, and the pre-treatments applied to the food items all had a significant impact on how large the hysteresis effect was. Observations from the sample plots showed that at low water activities, hysteresis increased with an increase in temperature, but at greater water activities, the effect of temperature on hysteresis was minimal. This was in line with earlier reports [22, 24], which suggested that temperature may have a more significant impact at low water activity levels due to its effects on polar sites than at higher water activity levels, where the majority of food components are ~~very~~ free due to the abundance of water, which then interferes with the temperature effects. ~~Adsorption and desorption now depend on the solutes in the meal.~~ The higher moisture content in the desorption pathway supported [25] hypotheses that solutes hold more water during desorption because there are more closed pores in dry goods than during adsorption. The capillaries shrink as the meal moves through desorption, trapping water molecules in the process [26].

~~Effect of sorption temperature:~~ The moisture sorption isotherm (MSI) of smoke-dried fish is ~~clearly affected by temperature, as shown in~~. When the temperature was constant, the EMC increased as water activity increased but decreased as ~~temperature increased~~ (Figure 4). This is because higher temperatures make water molecules more active, which reduces the attractive forces between molecules [25, 27]. This demonstrated that independent of relative humidity, the smoke-dried fish becomes less hygroscopic as temperature rises. This data implies that, in an environment with constant relative humidity, smoke-dried fish can absorb moisture more readily at lower temperatures than at higher temperatures. Since the water molecules are free to move at higher temperatures, increasing the temperature lowers isotherm curves and increases water activity at constant moisture content, making the products more vulnerable to moisture-dependent deteriorations [28]. Temperature should be lowered in order to decrease water activity in food while maintaining the same moisture content.

**Influence of nucleated relative humidity environment:** The MSI of smoke-dried fish displayed a type III BET isotherm with an increase in EMC that was directly correlated to the environment's nucleated relative humidity at constant temperature. This was caused by the food's sorption sites' strong affinity for environmental moisture availability. The equilibrium moisture content increases with the amount of water present in the environment when the temperature remains constant [4, 29].

**Comment [h49]:** Should be written in figures and remove from here

**Comment [h50]:** Give references

**Comment [h51]:** Give references

**Comment [h52]:** Rewrite the sentence and give reference at the end of sentence.

**Comment [h53]:** Sentence is very large, should be reduced.

**Comment [h54]:** There is not shown in figure for increase or decrease in temperature.

**Comment [h55]:** Rewrite the sentence

**Comment [h56]:** Where is data or graph for explanation with temperature variation?

**Comment [h57]:** What is BET isotherm?

**Comment [h58]:** Should be deleted

**Application of Henderson model to sorption data:** The plot of the linearized form ( $\ln m = \ln(A/T) + B \ln(1 - a_w)$ ) of Henderson equation are shown in Figures 5.A to 5.F. Figure 4.A to 5.C shows for catfish, 5.4 to 5.6 for mackerel at the three temperatures. The Figures show the plots of  $\ln m$  against  $\ln(1 - a_w)$ , where  $m$  is the experimental equilibrium moisture content,  $a_w$  is the water activity,  $T$  is the absolute temperature of sorption,  $A$  and  $B$  are Henderson's constants derived from the intercepts and slopes respectively. For forecasting the equilibrium moisture content at a specific water activity and temperature, the Henderson model provides a flexible tool. This model's inclusion of the temperature influence on MSI makes it extremely important. While Table 4 displays the value of the Henderson constants  $A$  and  $B$ , and Table 5 displays the regression parameters and the % root-mean-square of the Henderson model. The Henderson equation gave a good fit for smoke dried fish with correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) greater or equal to 95 % ( $R^2 \geq 0.95$ ) for both adsorption and desorption mode, and the percentage root means square (% RMS) less than 10. The closer the experimental data to the real EMC, the better will be the goodness of fit for such data. The lower the % RMS for a model, the better the fit for predictive purposes in sorption experiments. The determination of the Henderson constants  $A$  and  $B$  for smoke dried fish was an important accomplishment that opened the door for further research that is anticipated to provide answers to problems that have not yet been thoroughly explored for sorption reasons.

**Application of Branuar Emmet Teller (B.E.T.) model to sorption data:** The linearized form of the BET model:  $a_w/m(1 - a_w) = Baw + A$ , was derived from the original equation:  $a_w / M(1 - a_w) = 1 / Mo C + C - 1 \times a_w / Mo C$

Where  $Mo$  is the monolayer moisture content,  $a_w$  is the water activity,  $M$  is the equilibrium moisture content,  $B$  and  $A$  are slopes and intercepts respectively, obtained from the plots of  $a_w / M(1 - a_w)$  against  $a_w$ . The BET model is known to fit experimental sorption data in the water activity range of up to 0.5 [13, 30]. Despite this limitation, the BET model provides values of monolayer moisture content which is an important parameter in food deteriorative mechanism. The monolayer moisture content ( $Mo$ ) is the amount of first layer of moisture closely bonded to the polar sites, and are not available for any microbial or biochemical deterioration. Regression parameters for sorption data of smoke dried fish using BET model are presented in Table 6.

Comment [h59]:  $\ln m$  against  $\ln(1 - a_w)$ ,

Comment [h60]: . should be deleted. some where you have written small letter so you can do consistency to all.

Comment [h61]: For catfish and mackerel.

Comment [h62]: deleted

Comment [h63]: discussion of figures are required for better understanding the results.

Comment [h64]: what?

Comment [h65]: Should be corrected

Comment [h66]: Proper discussion required.

Comment [h67]: Check it.




Figure 5.A.




Figure 5.D.




Figure 5.B.




Figure 5.E.




Figure 5.C.




Figure 5.F.

Figure 5. Henderson plots for smoke dried fishes

Legend, M = Equilibrium moisture content,  $a_w$  = water activity.

Table 4: Values of Henderson constants (A and B) for smoke dried fish

Sample	20 °C		30 °C		40 °C	
	A	B	A	B	A	B
Catfish						
Adsorption	0.0094	-0.9178	0.0086	-0.9184	0.0088	-0.8524
Desorption	0.0120	-0.9399	0.0098	-0.9817	0.0095	-0.9705
Mackerel						
Adsorption	0.0072	-0.8899	0.0062	-0.9093	0.0065	-0.8652
Desorption	0.0079	-1.0222	0.0078	-1.0054	0.0079	-0.9606

Table 5. The Henderson regression parameters for smoked dried fish

SAMP	20 °C			30 °C			40 °C		
	Predictive equation	R <sup>2</sup>	% RMS	Predictive equation	R <sup>2</sup>	% RMS	Predictive equation	R <sup>2</sup>	% RMS
Catfish									
Ads	Y=0.9178x+1.0077	0.98	4.8	Y=0.9184x+0.9569	0.98	3.4	Y=0.8524x+1.0	0.95	4.6
Des	Y=0.9399x+1.2534	0.98	4.4	Y=0.9817x+1.0899	0.99	5.6	Y=0.9705x+1.0	0.93	5.8
Mackerel									
Ads	Y=0.8898x+0.7420	0.97	5.8	Y=0.9093x+0.6368	0.96	6.8	Y=0.8652x+0.7	0.95	6.1
Des	Y=1.02223x+0.849	0.99	2.6	Y=1.0054x+0.8613	0.98	7.7	Y=0.9606x+0.9	0.95	8.7

It was observed that the model fitted for all the EMC data of the fish samples as indicated by the correlation coefficients ranging from 0.90 % to 0.96 % ( $R^2 \geq 0.90$  %), and the percentage root mean square of error less than 10. The lower the % RMS (generally lower than 10), the better the model's fit for sorption data [31]. The values of BET constant (C) and the monolayer moisture values (Table 7) were estimated from the slopes and intercepts of the BET plot ( $a_w / M(1-a_w)$  against  $a_w$ ). It was observed that the value of  $M_0$  ranged from 1.4 (adsorption at 40 °C) for smoke dried mackerel to 3.1 (desorption at 20 °C) for smoke dried catfish. All EMC'S were on dry basis (d.b). In general, the  $M_0$  value of the desorption operations was higher than the adsorption path. According to the observation, some thermodynamically irreversible reactions must take place during desorption, adsorption, or both. The polar sites on which water is sorbed are not completely satisfied in the wet state (the substance being desorption). The water-holding sites are brought together (shrinking) when they dry out in order to satisfy one another. Less water affinity is displayed in the adsorption phase as a result, reducing the water holding capacity during adsorption [4, 26]. As earlier observed [25, 27,28], the food materials become less hygroscopic at higher temperature, therefore decreasing the moisture content bound to the polar sites. This was the reason why the value of the EMC and the  $M_0$  value decreased inversely with the temperature. The BET constant has been reported [22] to contain a temperature dependent term whose magnitude is derived from the sorptive capacity of the material. By taking into account the value of the universal gas constant and the experiment's absolute temperature, the BET constant can be

Comment [w68]: Observed from ..... that

Comment [w69]: Should be rewritten correctly

Comment [w70]: % db

used to estimate the isosteric heat of sorption of the material. The assessment of apparent sorbate surface area of sorption ( $S_0$ ), which takes into account the value of the Avogadro's constant and the molecular weight of water [32], further makes use of the BET monolayer moisture content, which is the safest moisture content for storage.

### Application of Oswin model to sorption data

The linearized form of Oswin model:  $\ln m = \ln A + B \ln (aw/1-aw)$  was derived from the original equation:  $M = A (aw/ 1-aw)^B$ .

Comment [w71]: ln

Table 6. The BET regression parameters for smoked dried fish

Sample	20 °C			30 °C			40 °C		
	predictive equation	R <sup>2</sup>	% RMS	Predictive equation	R <sup>2</sup>	% RMS	Predictive equation	R <sup>2</sup>	%R MS
Catfish									
Ads	Y = 0.47x - 0.03	0.9	6.6	Y = 0.50x - 0.03	0.9	4.8	Y = 0.57x - 0.0	0.92	4.9
Des	Y = 0.34x - 0.02	0.9	6.4	Y = 0.36x - 5.62	0.9	4.2	Y = 0.38x - 0.013	0.92	4.6
Mackerel									
Ads	Y = 0.66x - 0.046	0.9	7.8	Y = 0.61x - 0.04	0.9	9.1	Y = 0.76x - 0.07	0.94	7.1
Des	Y = 0.40x - 0.08	0.9	5.7	Y = 0.44x - 0.007	0.9	5.3	Y = 0.49x - 0.03	0.90	8.2

Where  $\ln A$  and  $B$  are intercept and slope respectively, and  $M$  is the equilibrium moisture content [33]. The Oswin model was applied to sorption data of the smoke dried fish. The regression parameters, the Oswin constants and the percentage root means square of error are shown in Table 8 and 9 respectively. The Oswin model gave a high correlation coefficient ( $R^2 \geq 0.9146$ ). The % RMS showed values less than 10. The Oswin charts were obtained as plots of  $\ln m$  against  $\ln (aw/1-aw)$  from the linearized form. The predictive equation for desorption gave better fit than the adsorption path. This was agreed with [34] that the desorption branch is closer to equilibrium than the adsorption branch of a sorption isotherm. The effect of temperature on the Oswin constants, coefficient of determination and the % RMS were obvious. This agreed with postulation by [21] that the constant  $A$  in Oswin's model was found to be temperature dependent as it decreased with increased temperature at constant water activity.

Comment [w72]: mean

Comment [w73]: should be upto 2 decimal. and %RMS value less than 10.

Table 7. BET monolayer value and constants for smoked dried fish

Sample	M <sub>0</sub>	C	M <sub>0</sub>	C	M <sub>0</sub>	C
Catfish						
Adsorption	2.3	14.7	2.1	15.7	1.92	10.4
Desorption	3.1	16.0	0.9	0.2	2.70	2.9
Mackerel						
Adsorption	1.6	13.3	1.8	14.3	1.40	9.9
Desorption	2.5	50.9	2.3	59.3	2.20	15.9

Table 8. Oswin regression parameters

Sample	20 °C			30 °C			40 °C		
	Predictive equation	R <sup>2</sup>	%R	Predictive equation	R <sup>2</sup>	%	Predictive equation	R <sup>2</sup>	%
Catfish									
Ads	Y = 1.8435 + 0.5354x	0.9	8.9	Y = 1.3809 + 0.178x	0.95	6.7	Y = 1.6841 + 0.3568x	0.9	5.10
Des	Y = 2.1078 + 0.5569x	0.9	7.4	Y = 1.5578 + 0.137x	0.96	9.5	Y = 1.9626 + 0.5665x	0.9	3.70
Mackerel									
Ads	Y = 1.5513 + 0.5176x	0.9	10.0	Y = 1.0353 + 0.131x	0.96	4.1	Y = 1.4927 + 0.4242x	0.9	13.1
Des	Y = 1.7735 + 0.6000x	0.9	8.7	Y = 1.3142 + 0.146x	0.97	5.9	Y = 1.7801 + 0.5407x	0.9	11.9

Table 9. Oswin constants for smoke dried fish

Sample	20°C		30°C		40°C	
	A	B	A	B	A	B
Catfish						
Ads	1.8435	0.5354	1.3809	0.1783	1.6841	0.3568
Des	2.1078	0.5569	1.5578	0.1871	1.9626	0.5665
Mackerel						

Ads	1.5513	1.7735	1.0353	0.1817	1.4927	0.4959
Des	0.5176	0.6000	1.3142	0.1979	1.7801	0.5582

Application of Guggenheim-Anderson-DeBoer (GAB) model to sorption data: GAB model was applied to sorption data of smoke dried catfish and mackerel at the selected temperatures (20, 30 and 40 °C) and the entire range of water activity investigated during the experiment. This was because, GAB has advantage over BET, Oswin and Henderson since it has a viable theoretical basis and it is a refinement of Langmuir and BET equation [35]. The GAB parameters are presented in Table 10. From Table 10, the GAB monolayer moisture content (Mo) for smoke dried fish which is the safest moisture value for storage ranged between 2.5287 and 4.3154 for catfish, 2.0137 and 2.8302 for mackerel. The coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) ranged from 0.8269 to 0.9451 for catfish and 0.8792 to 0.9866. The percentage root means square (% RMS) ranged from 6.7 to 12.1 for catfish and 5.9 to 13.1 for mackerel. This values shows that GAB model gave a good fit to the experimental data.

**General comparison of the models:** Table 11 and 12 show the summary of the comparison of all the models used in this study. The % RMS for the adsorption mode ranged from 3.4 to 6.8 (for Henderson model), 4.8 to 9.1 (for BET model), 5.9 to 13.9 (GAB) and 4.1 to 13.2 (for Oswin model). For desorption mode, the % RMS ranged from 2.6 to 8.7 (Henderson model), 4.6 to 8.2 (BET model) and 5.9 to 11.9 (Oswin model). Based on closeness of coefficients of determination (correlation coefficient) to 100 %, and low % RMS (generally lower than 10 for sorption experiment), it can be inferred that the performance of the Henderson model, gave the best fit.

**Net isosteric heat of sorption:** The net isosteric heat of sorption (Table 13) for smoke dried catfish and mackerel were determined from isosteres plots of Ln C against 1/T [32] using the formula:  $\ln C = -\ln C + Q_n / RT$ .

Where,  $Q_n$  is the net isosteric heat of sorption, C is the BET constant, R the universal gas constant (0.008314 kJ/mol) and T is the absolute temperature of sorption.

Table 10. GAB regression parameters

S/MODE	TEMP °C	M <sub>0</sub>	K	C	R <sup>2</sup>	% RMS
(Catfish)						
ADS	20	2.9821	1.0547	6.1735	0.8434	11.4
DES	20	4.3154	1.05183	5.8330	0.9451	12.1
ADS	30	2.6523	22.8035	2.2197	0.8672	12.1
DES	30	3.5989	1.0659	5.0815	0.8269	9.3
ADS	40	2.5287	1.06089	7.7497	0.8381	6.7
DES	40	3.1105	1.08114	6.8046	0.9196	7.4
(Mackerel)						
ADS	20	2.0137	1.0633	7.7965	0.8939	11.5
DES	20	2.8302	1.0792	5.3322	0.8792	5.9
ADS	30	1.6484	1.0843	7.3354	0.9273	11.1

**Comment [w74]:** Unit required for all the monolayer moisture content

**Comment [w75]:** Upto 2 decimal required. Please check unit of all the data

**Comment [w76]:** Should be in single table

**Comment [w77]:** The % RMS ranged from 3.4 to 6.8 for the adsorption in Henderson model, .....

**Comment [w78]:** Should be in method portion

DES	30	2.4785	1.0872	7.4263	0.9917	8.6
ADS	40	1.6616	1.0754	11.4921	0.9866	13.9
DES	40	2.5183	1.0698	8.1044	0.9436	10.7

Table 11. Coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) of the used models

S/mode	Sample	Temp (°C)	Henderson	BET	GAB	Oswin
Adsorption	Catfish	20	0.98	0.94	0.84	0.96
		30	0.98	0.90	0.87	0.95
		40	0.96	0.92	0.84	0.94
Adsorption	Mackerel	20	0.97	0.92	0.90	0.95
		30	0.96	0.92	0.93	0.96
		40	0.93	0.94	0.99	0.92
Desorption	Catfish	20	0.98	0.94	0.95	0.95
		30	0.99	0.96	0.83	0.96
		40	0.98	0.97	0.92	0.92
Desorption	Mackerel	20	0.99	0.92	0.88	0.97
		30	0.98	0.94	0.99	0.97
		40	0.96	0.90	0.94	0.94

Table 12. Percentage RMS of smoke dried fish

S/mode	Sample	Temp(°C)	Henderson	BET	GAB	Oswin
Adsorption	Catfish	20	4.8	6.6	11.4	8.9
		30	3.4	4.8	12.1	6.7
		40	4.6	4.9	6.7	6.1
Adsorption	Mackerel	20	5.8	7.8	11.5	10.0
		30	6.8	9.1	11.1	4.1
		40	6.1	7.1	13.9	11.2
Desorption	Catfish	20	4.4	6.4	12.1	7.4
		30	5.6	4.2	9.3	9.5
		40	5.8	4.6	7.4	9.7
Desorption	Mackerel	20	2.6	5.7	5.9	8.7
		30	7.7	5.3	8.6	5.9
		40	8.7	8.2	10.7	10.9

Table 13. Net isosteric heat of sorption for adsorption and desorption of smoke dried fish

Samples	$Q_s$ (ads) KJ/mol water	$Q_s$ (des) KJ/ mol water
Catfish	4.55	4.07
Mackerel	1.22	1.10

According to [36], the net isosteric heat of sorption, which is depicted in Table 13, can be used to calculate the amount of energy needed for dehydration procedures. It can be described as the sum of the heats of sorption and vaporization of the water from the substance [26]. According to observation, the isosteric heat of sorption for the fish samples fell rapidly as moisture content rose, with the adsorption branch exhibiting greater values than the desorption branch, which had a larger moisture content. This finding is consistent with [37] finding that water adsorbs at the strongest binding sites on

the solid's exterior surface at low moisture content. The polymer expands as moisture content rises, creating more high-energy sites for water to bond. Lower temperatures of sorption were produced as sorption happened on the less active site as these sites became occupied [31, 38, 39].

**Apparent sorbate surface areas for sorption:** The apparent sorbate surface areas of sorption ( $m^2/g$  solid) for the samples were calculated using the [10] equation which states that  $S_o = 1/M_s$  (No AMo).

Where  $S_o$  is the apparent sorbate area for monolayer sorption,  $M_s$  is the molecular weight of water (18),  $N_o$  is the Avogadro's constant ( $6.023 \times 10^{23}$  molecules/mol.),  $M_o$  is the mean (adsorption and desorption) of BET monolayer moisture value (g water/ g solid) and  $A$  is the apparent surface area of one molecules of water ( $1.10 \times 10^{-19} m^2$ ). Table 14 showed that the apparent surface area ( $S_o$ ) (Table 14) decreased with the increase in temperature. This implied that at low temperature, the sorbent molecules are exposed to larger areas for sorption. Increasing the temperature can cause molecular shrinkage or thermal denaturation which pose negative effect on the polar sites [25, 27, 40].

Table 14. Apparent sorbate surface areas for sorption ( $m^2/g$  solids) for smoke dried fish

Samples	Temp ( $^{\circ}C$ )	$M_o$ (gH <sub>2</sub> O/g solid)	$S_o$ ( $m^2/g$ solid)
Catfish adsorption	20	2.30	84.6566
	30	2.13	78.3994
	40	1.92	70.6699
Mackerel adsorption	20	1.63	59.9958
	30	1.80	66.2531
	40	1.41	51.5301
Catfish desorption	20	3.10	114.102
	30	1.90	69.9337
	40	2.70	99.3795
Mackerel desorption	20	2.51	92.0181
	30	2.30	84.6566
	40	2.21	80.9759

The apparent sorbate sorption area serves as a guidance for heat-related pre-treatment operations on food samples by estimating the area over which moisture sorption is anticipated to occur per unit mass of the food [41].

### Conclusions:

According to the short processing time and high phenolic content of the smoked fish samples, the smoking kiln performed the basic tasks of smoking and drying the fishes very well. Smoke-dried catfish and mackerel's moisture sorption isotherm produced a type III isotherm with noticeable hysteresis at all temperatures. The % RMS and the correlation value ( $R^2$ ) showed that the Henderson model better represented the moisture sorption data for smoke-dried catfish and mackerel than other used models. The best moisture level for storage was found to be the monolayer moisture content ( $M_o$ ) of smoke-dried catfish and mackerel. The equilibrium moisture content of smoke dried fish is learned from the

Comment [w79]: Equation no.

Comment [w80]: Should be in method portion

sorption curves. They provide information on various types of water in the product as well as a good sense of the stability of the product after drying. Since water is adsorbed at the strongest binding sites on the exterior surface of the solid at low moisture contents, less energy is needed to remove moisture when the moisture content is high compared to low. It would be possible to anticipate the behavior of smoked dried fish during storage, processing, and other activities using the constants produced from all of the sorption models that were utilized.

**Comment [w81]:** Value of net isosteric heat and apparent sorbate sorption area to be added.

## Statements and Declarations

### Ethic Approval

The researchers/authors have adhered to the accepted ethical standards. Authors are responsible for the correctness of the statement provided in the manuscript.

### Consent to Participate

Consent has been given by authors and they are not under undue influence on authors to consent.

### Consent for publications

The authors hereby give permission to publish the manuscript and the publisher the license of the copyright which provides the publisher with the exclusive right to publish. The authors have read and understood the publishing policy, and submit this manuscript in accordance with the policy.

Data Availability: Data will be made available upon request

## Reference

1. Eyoo, A. A. (2001). Fish Processing Technology in the Tropics. National Institute for Fresh Water fisheries Research (NIFFR), New Bussa, Nigeria. Pp: 10-170.
2. Strilankshmi, B. (2003). Food Science. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. New Age International Publisher, Chennai. Pp: 162-167.
3. Fennema, O. R. (1996). Food Chemistry (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). Marcel Dekker, Inc. pp: 206 – 246.
4. Rizvi, S. S. H. (1995). Thermodynamic Properties of Food in Dehydration. In: Engineering properties of foods. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (Rao, M. A and Rizvi, S. S. H., Editors.). Merce Decker Inc. New York. Pp 223-309.
5. Kjällstrand, J., & Petersson, G. (2001) . Phenolic antioxidants in wood smoke. The Science of the Total Environment, 27, 69-75
6. Onimawo, A. I. and Akubor , P. I. (2012). Food Chemistry. AMBIK Press Ltd. Pp:132-161.
7. A.O.A.C. (2010). Official methods of analysis 18th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C., USA
8. Neuber, E. E. (1981). Evaluation of Critical Parameters for Developing Moisture Sorption Isotherms of Cereal Grains. In: Water Activity Influences on Food Quality. (L.B. Rockland and G. F. Stewart Ed.). Academic Press New York. Pp. 78.
9. Chirife, J., Suarez, C. and Iglesias, H. A. (1986). The calculation of the heat of water sorption in foods on the basis of B.E.T. theory. Journal of Food Technology, 21: 103 -107
10. Cadden, A.M. (1988). Moisture sorption of several food fibres. J. Food Sci. 53, 1150–1155
11. Steel, R. G. D. and Torrens, J. H. (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics. Singapore, McGraw Hill, Intern. Coy, 107-110.
12. Osibona, A. O., Kusemiju, K. and Akande, G. R. (2009). Fatty acid and proximate composition of Africa catfish. African Journal of Food and Agriculture, 9(1): 608-621.
13. Brennan, J. G., Butters, J. R., Cowel, W. D., and Lily, A. E. V. (1990). Food Engineering (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). Elsevier Applied Science Publi. Ltd. London. Pp: 375-390.

**Comment [w82]:** Correct it

**Comment [w83]:** Correct as pp

**Comment [w84]:** But in ref 3 it is 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., so make it same as per journal

3 . Marcel Dekker

4. Merce Decker

Check it

14. Ogbonnaya, C. and Ibrahim, M. S. (2009). Effect of drying method on proximate Composition of catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). *World Journal of Agricultural Science*, 5 (1): 114-116.
15. Camila, N. B., Fernando, J. N., Jorge, A. F., Jorge, M. A. and Rui, S. M. (2013). Equilibrium moisture content isotherm of codfish (*Gadus morhua*). *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, DOI: 10.1080/10498856.2012.672545.
16. Kim, S. S, Kim, S. Y, Kim, D. W, Shin, S. G and Chang, K. S. (1999). Moisture sorption characteristics of composite foods filled with chocolate. *Journal Food Science*, 64 (2): 164-168.
17. Olajide S., Ibrionke P. and Loreto, M. (2012). Experimental and mathematical description of sorption isotherms and thermodynamics Properties of salted and dried Africa catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). *Journal Food Science*, 49: 324-328.
18. Francisco, J. T., Pei Ching, Y. and Tuan P. (2003). Moisture sorption isotherm of fresh lean beef and external beef fat. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 60:357-366.
19. Sopade, P. A. and Ajisegri, E. S. (1995). Moisture sorption characteristics of banbaragroundnuts. *Food Australia*, 47(10): 465-469.
20. Usman, M. A. (2004). Evaluation of Physicochemical and Sorption Characteristic of "Guna" (*Citrilius anatus*) Seeds. Ph.D Thesis, Food Science and Technology Department, University of Maiduguri Nigeria.
21. Sopade, P. A., Ajisegri, E. S and Abbas, A. B. (1994). Moisture sorption study on Nigerian Foods. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, 30 (4): 331-338.
22. Labuza, T. P., Kaanane, A. and Chen, J. Y. (1985). Effect of temperature on the moisture sorption isotherms and water activity shift of two dehydrated food. *Journal food science*, 41:910-917
23. Hill ,T. L. (1999). Statistical mechanics of adsorption thermodynamics and rate of adsorption. *Journal Chem. Phys.*, 17:520-535.
24. Wang, N. and Brennan, J. G (1991). Moisture Sorption isotherm characteristics of potatoes at four temperatures. *Journal Food Engineering*, 14: 269 – 282.
25. Bhandari, B., and Howes, T. (1999). Implication of glass transition for the drying and stability of foods. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 40 (1-2), 71–79. doi:10 . 1016 / S02608774(99)00039-4
26. McLaughlin, C.P. and Magee, T.R.A. (1998). The determination of sorption isotherm and the isosteric heats of sorption for potatoes. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 15: 269-280.
27. Yan, Z., Sousa – Callagher, M. J. and Oliveira, F. A. R. (2008). Sorption isotherms and moisture hysteresis of intermediate moisture content of banana. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 86: 342 – 34
28. Ariahu, C. C., Kaze, S. A. and Achem, C. D. (2006). Moisture sorption characteristics of tropical fresh water crayfish (*Procambarus clarkia*). *Journal of Food Engineering*, 75: 355 - 363.
29. Brunauer, S., Emmett, P. H. and Teler, E. (1938). Adsorption of gases in multi-molecular layers. *J.Soc. Chem.*, 60: 309 – 312.
30. Aguerre, R., Suarez, C. and Viollaz, P. E. (1989). New BET type multilayer sorption isotherms :theoretical derivation of the model. *Lebensm Will Technology*, 22: 188 – 191.
31. Igllessias, H. A. and Chirife, J. (1978). An Empirical equation for fitting water sorption Isotherms of fruits and related products. *food sci.Tech. Journal*, 11:12-16.
32. Chirife, J., Boquet R., Ferro-Fortan, C. and Iglesias, H. (1983). A new model for describing the water Sorption isotherms of foods. *Journal Food Science*, 48: 1382-1383.
33. Oswin, C.R. (1946). The kinetics of package life III-isotherm. *J. Soc. Chem. Ind. (London)*. 65:419–421

34. Sopade, P. A., Ajisegiri, E. S. and Abbas, A. B., (1996). Moisture sorption of dawadawa, a fermented African Bean (*Parkia biglobossa*). *Food control*, 7 (3): 153 – 156.
35. Ricardo, D., Andrade P., Roberto, M., Carmen E. and Pérez C. (2011). Models of sorption isotherms for food: Uses and Limitation, pp. 325-334.
36. Kaymak-Ertekin, F. and Sultanoglu, M. (2001). Moisture isotherms characteristics of peppers. *Journal Food Engineering*, 47: 225 – 231
37. Benado. A.L. and Rizvi, S.S.H. (1985). Thermodynamic properties of water on rice as calculated from reversible and irreversible isotherms. *J Food Sci.*;50:101–105. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.1985.tb13286.x.
38. Tsami, E., Marinos-Kouris, D. and Saravacos, G. D. (1990). Heat of sorption of water in dried fruits. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 25: 350-359.
39. Gal, S. (1988). The Need for, and Practical Application of Sorption Data. In: *Physical properties of foods* (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition) Jowith et al. **Editor**). Elsevier Applied Science publication, New York. Pp. 13-25.
40. Kapsalis, J. G. (1981). Moisture Sorption Hysteresis. In: *Water Activity, Influences on Food Quality* (L. B. Rockland and G. F. Stewart Edit). Academic Press New York. Pp 143-299.
41. Mazza, G. (1984). Sorption isotherms and drying rates of Jerusalem artichoke (*Helianthus tuberosus* L.). *Journal Food Science*, 49: 384-388.