

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_109304
Title of the Manuscript:	Revolutionizing Mushroom Cultivation: A Comprehensive Review of Hydroponics in Fungiculture
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The title is appropriate to the topic reviewed.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract could improve by clearly mentioning the aim and the sections that are covered in the review as well as a conclusion of the review.</p> <p>The writing presents a wide variety of writing styles as well as strong spelling problems, especially in final periods in paragraphs and commas between statements and quotes. Requires a revision of the entire writing.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and even when they are not ideal, I consider that they could be accepted, however not all the citations are referenced and not all the references are cited so they must be reviewed in their entirety.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The proper writing style should be reviewed and idioms eliminated.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I consider the work can be improved for publication if it pays attention to the observations made in its manuscript.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dolores Castañeda Antonio</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Research Center in Microbiological Sciences, Meritorious Autonomous University of Puebla, Mexico</b>