

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_108742
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating Pelvic Organ Prolapse in Bangladeshi Women: An In-depth Epidemiological Analysis and Recommendations for Women's Health Care
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is important for both urogynaecologists and general gynaecologists, especially in lower-income countries, as it strongly emphasises the great importance of implementing targeted health policies for the multifaceted prevention, early diagnosis, and successful management of the frequent condition of pelvic organ prolapse.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable and explanatory of the manuscript's content.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is well-written, concise, and comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is clear and appropriate.</p> <p>5. The article is scientifically correct. The applied methodology is solid. The methods are described adequately and the statistical analysis, as well as the statistical software used, is appropriate. The presented data support the conclusions and address the research question.</p> <p>6. The references, although "classic" and sufficient in number, could be slightly more recent. For example:</p> <p>-Peinado-Molina RA, Hernández-Martínez A, Martínez-Vázquez S, Rodríguez-Almagro J, Martínez-Galiano JM. Pelvic floor dysfunction: prevalence and associated factors. BMC Public Health. 2023 Oct 14;23(1):2005. doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-16901-3. PMID: 37838661; PMCID: PMC10576367</p> <p>-Pudasaini S, Dangal G. Clinical Profile of Patients of Pelvic Organ Prolapse and Its Associated Factors. J Nepal Health Res Counc. 2023 Sep 8;21(1):86-91. doi: 10.33314/jnhrc.v21i1.4361. PMID: 37742155.</p> <p>7. Could you please clarify whether all three statistical software packages (SPSS, SAS, and R) which have been mentioned in the "Statistical Analysis" section have been used?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article is clear and scientific, without the need for significant changes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, I suggest revision with very few minor changes and acceptance, because the information in this paper can raise the awareness of practitioners (such as gynaecologists, urogynaecologists, and midwives) on the early detection and management of pelvic organ prolapse.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Charalampos Karachalios
Department, University & Country	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece