

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRS_108698</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Difference Between The Complications Of Early Vs. Late Reconstruction In Patients With Traumatic Orbital Fractures</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>In part, there are other papers dealing with the subject. This one is very thin on content.</b></li> <li><b>Yes</b></li> <li><b>The abstract must be rewritten according to the journal's guidelines per le review:</b></li> </ol> <p><b>4. Review papers:</b> These papers will not have empirical data acquired by the authors but will include discussion of papers published and data acquired in a specific area. We advise a length of 5000-9000 words, (including 50-150 references plus 3-5 figures and/or tables (if required)).</p> <p><b>5. Minireview papers:</b> Minireviews are brief historical perspectives or summaries of developments in fast-moving areas covered within the scope of the journal. They must be based on published articles; they are not outlets for unpublished data. They may address any subject within the scope of the journal. The goal of the Minireviews is to provide a <u>concise</u> summary of a particular field in a manner understandable to all readers. We advise a length of 3000-6000 words, (including 30-70 references plus 2-3 figures and/or tables (if required)).</p> <p><b>6. Systematic Reviews: (Mainly for bio-medical journals)</b> Systematic Reviews should usually be based on medical interventions or animal model studies. We recommend that authors consult the <a href="#">PRISMA</a> guidelines for reporting in Systematic Reviews. Systematic Reviews should deal with a clearly formulated question and use systematic and explicit methods to identify, select, and critically assess the relevant research. We advise a length of 5000-9000 words, (including 50-150 references plus 3-5 figures and/or tables (if required)).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>No, the authors misses:</b> Material and methods, Results &amp; Discussion, Tables &amp; Figures, Conclusions</li> <li><b>In part: the whole part on how the choice of articles was made is missing. Which databases were consulted, which words were searched. Example: PubMed, etc.</b></li> <li><b>Yes, but must be rewritten following these guidelines</b></li> </ol> <p><b>Reference style</b> References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. Only published or accepted manuscripts should be included in the reference list. Articles submitted for publication, unpublished findings and personal communications should not be included in the reference list but may be mentioned in the text (e.g., T Nelson, Purdue University, USA, Unpublished results or personal communication). Avoid citing a "personal communication" unless it provides essential information not available from a public source, in which case the name of the person and date of communication should be cited in parentheses in the text. For scientific articles, obtain written permission and confirmation of accuracy from the source of a personal communication. An unpublished result which has been accepted for publication in any journal should be cited as "in press". Journal name abbreviations should be those found in the NCBI databases (Link: <a href="http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals">http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nlmcatalog/journals</a>). <u>Note:</u> All journals will follow this new reference style, effective from 09 Oct, 2012.</p> <p>All references should follow the following style:</p>	

## Review Form 1.7

	<p>Reference to a journal: <u>For Published paper:</u> 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. Note: List the first six authors followed by et al. Note: Use of DOI number for the full-text article is encouraged. (if available). Note: Authors are also encouraged to add other database's unique identifier (like PUBMED ID). <u>For Accepted, unpublished papers.</u> Same as above, but "In press" appears instead of the page numbers. 1. Saha M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. Review of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. J Embryol Exp Morphol. 2009;49(3): (In press).</p> <p>Note: List the first six authors followed by et al. Note: Use of DOI number is encouraged (if available). Note: Authors are also encouraged to add other database's unique identifier (like PUBMED ID). <u>For Articles not in English</u> Forneau E, Bovet D. Recherches sur l'action sympathicolytique d'un nouveau dérivé du dioxane. Arch Int Pharmacodyn. 1933;46:178-91. French.</p> <p>Reference to a book: <u>Personal author(s)</u> Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. Pharmacology. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003. <u>Editor(s) or compiler(s) as authors</u> Beers MH, Porter RS, Jones TV, Kaplan JL, Berkwits M, editors. The Merck manual of diagnosis and therapy. 18th ed. Whitehouse Station (NJ): Merck Research Laboratories; 2006. <u>Authored chapter in edited publication</u> Glennon RA, Dukat M. Serotonin receptors and drugs affecting serotonergic neurotransmission. In: Williams DA, Lemke TL, editors. Foye's principles of medicinal chemistry. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams &amp; Wilkins; 2002.</p> <p>Reference to Web-resource or Electronic articles. Hugo JT, Mondal SC. Parallels between tissue repair and embryo morphogenesis: a conceptual framework. Global Health. 2006;16:4. Accessed 29 March 2012. Available: <a href="http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14">http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14</a>. Anonymous. Parallels between tissue repair and embryo morphogenesis: a conceptual framework. Global Health. 2006;16:4. Accessed 29 March 2012. Available: <a href="http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14">http://www.globalizationandhealth.com/content/1/1/14</a>.</p> <p>Reference to Organization as author Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. J Embryol Exp Morphol. 2009;49(2):259–276.</p> <p>Nomenclature and Units Internationally accepted rules and the international system of units (SI) should be used. If other units are mentioned, please give their equivalent in SI.</p> <p>For biological nomenclature, the conventions of the <i>International Code of Botanical Nomenclature</i>, the <i>International Code of Nomenclature of Bacteria</i>, and the <i>International Code of Zoological Nomenclature</i> should be followed.</p> <p>Scientific names of all biological creatures (crops, plants, insects, birds, mammals, etc.) should be mentioned in parentheses at first use of their English term.</p> <p>Chemical nomenclature, as laid down in the <i>International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry</i> and the official recommendations of the <i>IUPAC-IUB Combined Commission on Biochemical Nomenclature</i> should be followed. All biocides and other organic compounds must be identified by their Geneva names when first used in the text. Active ingredients of all formulations should be likewise identified.</p>	
--	--	--

## Review Form 1.7

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but must be verified by a native English speaker.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The most important general comment is as follows. It is necessary to enter in the paper: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- the databases consulted</li><li>- the search criteria</li><li>- the search results with insertion of a table</li><li>- the motivation for the choice of articles described in the paper</li><li>- a descriptive table of the patients' characteristics at baseline including the following data: age, sex, pathology etc</li><li>- a descriptive table of the chosen studies including data on study design, type of treatment, duration of treatment etc</li><li>- a descriptive table with the results/Levels of statistical significance such as: *P &lt;0.05, **P &lt;0.01 and ***P &lt;0.001 do not require any further explanation of the chosen studies.</li></ul>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Irene Scagnol</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Italy</b>