

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_108550
Title of the Manuscript:	Mycoflora and Mycotoxin Associated with Stored Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) Retailed in Major Markets of Ondo Metropolis, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important considering the national mycotoxin burden and efforts being put in place to mitigate it.</p> <p>The title should be adjusted as the author only determined the presence of Aflatoxins and not all the mycotoxins in market samples and not samples from stores. Suggestion: (detecting fungi and aflatoxins Associated with Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) Retailed in Major Markets of Ondo Metropolis, Nigeria)</p> <p>A lot of important information is missing from the abstract, especially from the methodology.</p> <p>The section describing the identification of fungi and the quantification of aflatoxins is missing. The discussion should also be improved</p> <p>The experimental design and the methodology should be brought to standard. Sample size, sample name, detection methods and identification methods need to be articulated.</p> <p>Yes, they are accept able but could be better</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes it is	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oyekemi Olubamise Akinmusire
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria