

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_108360
Title of the Manuscript:	Etiological Factors and Long-Term Clinical Implications of Constipation from Neonatal to Pediatric Populations: A Multicentric Cohort Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1 – Yes. 2 – Yes, but extensive: "a multicenter cohort study" could be cut from the title 3 – Yes. 4 – Yes. 5 – Yes. 6 – Yes, and: Very well structured work, having importance in determining the etiology and clinical consequences of neonatal and pediatric constipation, and having quality in the form of an original research article, evaluated with the objective of early intervention minimizing sequelae. As for its opportunity, the symptomatic disorder originated for the infant and child; the non-scientific based treatments often used; the necessary control of emotions and the multifactorial origin demonstrate the opportunity for the study. Regarding relevance, the prevalence of constipation, particularly neonatal; the fundamental dietary rules for resolving the pathology; family instability secondary to the repetition of events underlie this study. As for methodological correctness, the appropriate documentary format of the study; the structure, description and logic of the work development are succinct; the summary explains the foundations, methods, results and conclusion of the study in line with the text; the keywords are sufficient and timely; the introduction is adequate and articulates with bibliographical references of great scientific value; the objectives of the study are well described and developed; the study methodology is appropriate, in the study area, sampling and data collection and analysis, using parametric and non-parametric methods; the results are well expressed and with comparative studies; the discussion is concise, and presents the due limitations of the study; the conclusion underlies the objectives and results, and encompasses appropriate recommendations; bibliographical references are appropriate to the text of the article, updated and with internationally recognized, credible authors; there is ethical approval by an official entity. The work is very well systematized, without epidemiological mishaps, with well-founded considerations, and good recommendations. As for the content, it is a very interesting work in determining the etiopathogenesis, clinical and sequelae of neonatal and pediatric constipation. In overall analysis, the work "Etiological Factors and Long-Term Clinical Implications of Constipation from Neonatal to Pediatric Populations: A Multicentric Cohort Study" is a good work, with scientific proof defined by the study items and valuable bibliography.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1 – Yes. I work to recommend its publication and academic learning.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The bibliographic references could be more rigorous regarding the application of the APA or Vancouver method.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hernâni Pombas Caniço
Department, University & Country	University of Coimbra, Portugal