

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109753
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AGAINST MEN IN IRINGA MUNICIPALITY
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Very important for the scientific community</p> <p>2. The Title Should Have Pursued Who And To Whom The Date Home Violence Was Committed So The Title Is Recommended: <i>Factors Affecting Domestic Violence Committed By Women Against Men In The Municipality Of Iringa</i></p> <p>3. Sharpened and in accordance with abstract Systematics: research focus, objectives, Research Methodology and conclusions/findings</p> <p>4. Fit/Yes</p> <p>5. scientifically correct</p> <p>6. enough and up-to-date</p> <p><i>In order for the study to be a concern, try to compare it with previous research on domestic violence experienced by women and can be presented in the discussion before reviewing domestic violence experienced by men</i></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Enough for scientific communication	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	In general, this writing is good and acceptable	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ariman Sitompul</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dharmawangsa University, Indonesia</b>