

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_109524</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>On-site Learning in Museums: Re-conceptualizations and Re-directions for Museum Education in the Post-pandemic Digital Age</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Since the COVID-19 pandemic broke out and schools were shut down around the world, there has been a rise in interest in studying through distance learning programs. As a result of shifts in technological capabilities over the course of time and variations in how it's put into practice, the concept of "distance learning" can be understood in a variety of ways. It is common practice to refer to "online learning," "e-learning," "technology mediated learning," "online collaborative learning," "virtual learning," "web-based learning," and so on when talking about "distance learning." Despite this, the majority of definitions agree that distance education is when at least two people interact with one another in different locations and/or times while using a variety of resources.</p> <p><b>The article's title is appropriate for the content.</b></p> <p><b>Although there is a lot of information contained in the article's abstract, some of the sentences may be difficult to understand or difficult to follow. Make an effort to oversimplify the situation.</b></p> <p><b>The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are appropriate;</b></p> <p><b>If the manuscript is intended for academic use, then it adheres to the accepted standards of scientific practice.</b></p> <p><b>Any references you use should be from within the past five years.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The level of English used in the article is appropriate for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Supparang Ruangvanich</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chandrakasem Rajabhat University, Thailand</b>