

Editor's Comment:

I see that the paper has technical quality on the topic of machining processes and deserves publishing after the round of reviews. However, I noticed two flaws:

- The authors answered to the reviewers' queries, but the answering report is very poor. I think that the authors should improve the report by addressing specifically in detail what was done to improve the work, individually for each comment. With this procedure, we are telling the authors that the review process is consistent and accurate.
- That are many formatting flaws in the paper, although some of these can be arranged in the paper processing: line spacing is not consistent across the document, some tables are cut, Table 1 is not cross-referenced in the text, and there are few agreement errors.

If these issues are resolved, I recommend that the paper is published and congratulate the authors.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Raul D. S. G. Campilho
Auxiliar Professor, ISEP – School of Engineering, Porto, Portugal.