

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_109454
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPORT INSTABILITY SCENARIO OF CHILLI AND CUMIN IN INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is presenting important scientific data in a very appropriate way, it is definitely important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, very appropriate.</p> <p>3. It is well written, if author want or find appropriate they can write it in just one paragraph, otherwise it is correct in this way too.</p> <p>4. Yes, all the subsections of the manuscript are written in proper and well structured format.</p> <p>5. Yes, all the data and tables are presented in a well-mannered way, also all the data is appropriate and scientific.</p> <p>6. There are some spacing problems in the references, also there is no reference from this journal so it is advised to include 1 or 2 references from this journal.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes very appropriate language is used and it is good for the communication on any scientific platform, just some grammatical mistakes are there which needs to be corrected.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Manuscript is appropriate for acceptance and publication.</p> <p>Manuscript is written in a well- structured format, the topic and data presented in the article is very informative for others, some minor correction are there which need to be incorporated before final submission.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anjali Tomar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shobhit University, India</b>