

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_108265
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Intercropping and Crop Arrangement on Yield and Yield Component of Late Season Maize and Cowpea in the upland of Njala Soil Series Southern Sierra Leone.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is the result of a study conducted almost 10 years ago (2014). However, this manuscript will be useful to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. The abstract should be more concise and clearer. The abstract should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions.</p> <p>4. Most of the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, the results of the study should be more concise. Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion are considered plagiarism, whether it's one's own or someone else's. Please rewrite this section.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient. Please follow template of Journal. All citations in the text must match in the list of references at the end of the manuscript. They appear to be decades old. If it possible should be contains at least 3-5 recent references related to your research. Please follow template of Journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>- The language/English of the article is suitable for academic communication. However, the written language is similar and redundant with other people's works in a way that plagiarism is considered unethical in academics. It is recommended that the author rewrite this article to reduce the similarity and repetition of other people's work.</p> <p>- Please check the grammatical errors and misspellings.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruchuon Wanna
Department, University & Country	Maharakham University, Thailand