

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_108935
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF CRITICAL HABITAT INCLUSION IN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORTS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. This study's findings shed light on the current state of environmental impact assessments (EIA) in Nigeria and their impact on biodiversity conservation. By highlighting areas for improvement and making recommendations, it paves the way for more effective EIA processes, ultimately contributing to sustainable development and the preservation of Nigeria's rich biodiversity.</p> <p>NO. This should be like, "<u>Assessing the Inclusion of Critical Habitat Evaluation in Nigeria's EIA Reports: A Crucial Step Towards Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Development</u>"</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Introduction is short, Elaborate it.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Partially suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Result section is not well written. It should be in clear and reader appealing.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mudassir Alam
Department, University & Country	Aligarh Muslim University, India