

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109749
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Change in Kenya: Understanding Major Threats and Government Policies for Resilience
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The subject of this publication is obviously of major interest, as it concerns climate change who poses a severe threat to the living conditions of citizens and wildlife worldwide. What's more, it gives us an overall view of what is envisaged by the public policies through the policies and strategies that have been developed and are being implemented since 2010. It is necessary to take stock of the situation, and to assess the progress made towards achieving the actions proposed in these national documents; this should enable us to know what needs to be improved or redone altogether. This work is therefore very important, both in the academic and political spheres. The title is apt and clearly refers to the research on which the publication is based. The abstract is very precise and gives a general overview of the work carried out. However, it would have been more interesting to summarize the methodology adopted and the collection tools used. The results are well presented and give a clear overview of the policies put in place by the government; however, it would also have been useful to highlight the main recommendations arising from this work. The publication is well structured. The manuscript is scientifically correct; optimal methodology and relevant analyses. However, the tools used to collect data and information should be more detailed. The literature review is well supplied with recent authors and publications. gOOD 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The quality of the language and English of the article is adapted to scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Behanzin Précieux Christian
Department, University & Country	University of Abomey, Benin