

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109405
Title of the Manuscript:	Adoption of recommended package of practices of chickpea varieties (JG-11 and JAKI 9218) in Dharwad district of Karnataka, India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. However, in Results and Discussion in 3.2 and Conclusion, yield gap data was mentioned without presenting any data in the table. Data should be presented properly.</p> <p>References were sufficient. However few references are not in format. The word 'Anonymous' should be avoided as per as possible. See APA 7th edition example or journal's norms on it.</p> <p>In Table 2, it should be 'JG-11 adopted farmers' instead of 'JG-11 farmers' to make it clearer. Similarly, the other two columns may be changed. The table is long. Make it short by splitting the table into categories like land management, disease management, and pest management, and the corresponding discussion should be placed for each category accordingly.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor grammatical mistakes are observed, which may be rectified.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Utpal Barman
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, India