

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109388
Title of the Manuscript:	Genome Sequencing in Field Crops: Unlocking Agricultural Potential
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript incorporates important research for the scientific community on the use of information generated in the genome of field crop species.</p> <p>Yes, the title is appropriate according to the information in the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is complete by incorporating the necessary elements of what the research was about.</p> <p>Yes. The manuscript is organized appropriately. However, I suggest removing the subtopic "Application of Marker Assistant Selection" so that the central idea is continuous. Just the subtopic, not the information it contains.</p> <p>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are adequate and recent. However, I suggest writing the DOI of in all references.</p> <p>In general, the research handled in the manuscript is appropriate and interesting. However, the information at the beginning of each subtopic seems repetitive to me, so I suggest being more direct with the idea. Likewise, I propose that the information explained in the paragraphs of the description in figure 1 be written in a paragraph separate from the description. Finally, in the subtopic "Transposon Insertion Sequencing Application" I suggest adding references of what has been obtained and writing the speculations until the end of said subtopic.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, the language is perfectly understood. However, I suggest standardizing the use of verb tenses in writing.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesús Armando Lucas-Bautista
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Queretaro, México