

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_107769
Title of the Manuscript:	OSMOREGULATORY AND HAEMATOPOIETIC EFFECT OF AQUEOUS EXTRACTS OF Terminalia catappa LEAF FOLLOWING PHENYL HYDRAZINE INDUCED HAEMOLYTIC ANAEMIA IN WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community, in particular for its contribution to the medicinal field.</p> <p>2. The title is clear and concise</p> <p>3. The abstract was fragmented, whereas it should be written in a single block. You shouldn't write Introduction, Objective, Material and Method, but rather mention them both directly and indirectly in the abstract.</p> <p>4. The subtitles and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct and even topical.</p> <p>6. The references are still acceptable, despite two small corrections I've just made directly on the manuscript: the first and second references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The quality of the language and English of the article is adapted to scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tamba Sompila Arnaud Wenceslas Geoffroy
Department, University & Country	National Institute for Research in Engineering Science, Innovation and Technology, Congo