

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_109197
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the influence of Moringa oleifera leaves extract on the shelf life of ground beef during refrigerated storage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	None	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	None	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is fine for the data and scientific based knowledge. However, too strong the paper, the serious point of this manuscript needs to concern.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The introduction part is acceptable, but it would be good to highlight the limitations of previous studies and how your study can help to bridge the research gap.</li> <li>2. The manuscript was confused for the pattern of work, where is the conclusion of the study?? And/or the results was not good arrangement.</li> <li>3. The conclusion of the work dose not fix the main point of the study, try to rewrite and point into the significant find out of the current study.</li> <li>4. It would be good to break some long sentences into a few short sentences, as this will be less confusing and improve readability.</li> <li>5. The references may not appropriate for using the www.... As the citation in the text. It seems not good for scientific research or report.</li> </ol>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Utthapon Issara</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rajamangala University of Technology, Thailand</b>