

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_108671</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study of Interrelationship Among Economically Important Production Traits and Season of Calving on Milk Production in Jersindh crosses</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The theme approached in this manuscript falls into the topic of <b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>. The title of the article is in accordance with the issue studied. The summary of the article is comprehensive. The structure of the article is classic. It can be appreciated that the manuscript is scientifically correct. The article has a clarity of informations. There is a logic thread of the presentation and argumentation which makes the article relatively easy crossing. The used language is scientifically correct, and it can be easily read and understood. The style and expression of the authors are clear and coherent, no expression mistakes. The article contains only 9 bibliographical sources, of which the most recent two date from 2012. It is necessary to update the bibliographic sources with recent works, published in recent years. The sources citation is made according to requirements.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>It is necessary to number the sections and subsections of the paper. Citation of bibliographic sources within the text of the paper does not imply writing them in bold font. The term "<b>Key Words</b>" is not spelled correctly. It must be corrected in "<b>Keywords</b>". The formulas must be rewritten with the existing <i>Equation Editor</i> in <i>Word</i>. The formulas are numbered on the right side of the page. The units of measure are indicated in a pair of square brackets, not between round brackets. Appropriate spaces are required between sections and subsections. The name of the last section is "<b>References</b>", not "<b>Reference</b>". The works indicated in the <b>References</b> section are numbered regardless of the order in which they are listed. The names of the authors of the papers in the <b>References</b> section are not written in bold font.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Blajina Ovidiu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National University of Science and Technology Politehnica, Romania</b>