

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_108431
Title of the Manuscript:	Gynecological Condition Prevalence in Bangladesh: Analyzing Regional Variations, Socio-demographic Factors, and Environmental Contributions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>-Add statistical methods, origin of data, etc. in the methods section of the abstract.</p> <p>-Accessibility to health systems should be discussed when mentioning the higher prevalence of gynaecological morbidity in the urban setting.</p> <p>-Please mention the overall prevalence of gynaecological morbidity.</p> <p>-Show analyses for each group, the table implies that all correlations are positive. E.g., show the result of the correlation between high and low educational level and the effect size. If analysing groups with more than two variables, show the p-value corrected for multiple comparisons (e.g., bonferroni).</p> <p>-Show the logistic regression values and effect sizes (odds ratios) for the various variables, as this is very valuable information and supports the discussion and conclusions.</p> <p>-I consider the work to be of very good quality and its subject of study to be extremely important.</p> <p>-Please add references (e.g., reach at least 30).</p> <p>-Title, abstract and subsections are clear and appropriate</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Article language is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexander Tristancho Baró
Department, University & Country	Miguel Servet University Hospital, La Rioja University, Spain