

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_109632
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro Anti-inflammatory activity of leaves of Jeffreyia zeylanica Using the Egg albumin denaturation method and Human Red Blood Cell stabilization method.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, a wonderful finding is reported in this research work. Scientific community can work based on this research to find out natural ways of anti-inflammation using plant resources.</p> <p>Yes title is perfect. However, one dot (.) is present at the last of title which need to remove. Also, "Using" word should start with small letter and can be written as "using"</p> <p>Absolutely fine</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Perfect</p> <p>I found all the references latest and sufficient. It shows the sincerity of authors who gone through latest article in order to prepare this manuscript. However, formatting is inappropriate for few references. For example, year of manuscript is written immediately after the author's name/s but in references 13, 19 and 26, publication year is written in the last. Kindly correct that. Reference formatting should be uniform.</p> <p>References 4 and 20 are without year (mentioned as NO DATE). If year is not available, kindly replace with the other suitable references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Perfect</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Research work done and the way of writing found very impressive. This work must be accepted in our reputed journal.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ram Prakash Pandey
Department, University & Country	Chandigarh University, India