

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_108688
Title of the Manuscript:	Tailoring Gynecological Surgical Techniques to Bangladeshi Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study Assessing Global Advancements, Local Challenges, and Post-Operative Outcomes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes.The topic is important</p> <p>Yes The abstract is brief but not comprehensive.It does not tell how tand which surgeries are tailored to benefit the society in this study.</p> <p>This study is interventional as it includes adptive changes in surgical techniques according to sociocultural norms but the changes have not been explained in the method section nor anywhere in the study. No,no procedure,its drawback in Bangla culture and changes made by the authors or surgeons have been mentioned. The references are recent but not sufficient.</p> <p>Methods, results and discussion sections should include recent changes made in surgical techniques made in south east Asia like CYSTOINFLATION to prevent bladder injury ,HYDROTUBATION for unexplained infertility and IRRIGATION AND SUCTION techniques discovered by reviewer(Shazia Saaqib) and they are a revolution in surgical techniques.Similarly the same author has given a regimn of Mesoprostol for missed abortion which is different from Figo and is very safeand effective.I Have only mentioned my studies which can be cited by authors after reading if they want.They should also look for other novice techniques being used in the region by other authors.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Authors need to focus on study design.If narrative review,they can include studies of other authors. and if it is experimental design, they should carry out the suggested research work ,themselves and report their own results when they use regional changes</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shazia Saaqib
Department, University & Country	Allama Iqbal Medical College, Pakistan