

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_108764
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological Prevalence and Molecular Markers of Bladder Cancer in Bangladeshi Women Aged 50-59: The Role of Tobacco Exposure and Awareness Deficits
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The research area is quiet interesting and author has maintained the novelty as well. Considering the importance of primordial prevention the manuscript is having its own significance.</p> <p>After reading the introduction, I felt the title is not suitable. But title is suitable in relation to the analysis of the study. In the introduction part author has given detail description on overactive bladder.</p> <p>Abstract is well framed.</p> <p>Analysis part can be elaborated more.</p> <p>Needs to modify. Last objective is not clear. Manuscript says about multiple regression, where as it is not seen in the analysis part.</p> <p>Can add on related to bladder cancer, rather than overactive bladder.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Writing skill is maintained and it is flawless.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Needs revision and requires more clarity.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nandini Mannadath
Department, University & Country	University of Bahrain, Bahrain