

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_108751
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological Insights into Bladder Pain Syndrome among Bangladeshi Women: Prevalence, Clinical Presentations, Management Preferences, and Associated Risk Factors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. In my opinion authors should have used a valid questionnaire such The International Pelvic Pain Society publicised in the web. That would be the reason of such differences on the prevalence. Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes . It would be more serious if the used a valid questionnaire, however, the authors are pioneers . No</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No they could publicate and then use a valid questionnaire	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	NEVER FORGET TO USE A VALID QUESTIONARY	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gaston Lopez Fontana
Department, University & Country	Clinica Andina De Urologia, Argentina