

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMAH_108596</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PRE-EXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS (PREP) HIV DURING PANDEMIC COVID-19: CHALLENGE AND STRATEGY</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The goal of the manuscript is to present a review article. The title is "Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis HIV during Pandemic COVID-19: Challenge and Strategy".</p> <p>Yet, some changes are necessary before the final submission for publication. The authors have done a fantastic work, but some of the aspects are not well presented.</p> <p>The title is focusing on the essence of the study. Perhaps, avoiding the abbreviation of pre-exposure prophylaxis, i.e. PrEP will be better.</p> <p>The abstract is good, the structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>The illustration is only one – a chart that is not explained in the text. The authors have either to delete it or to add short explanation / description.</p> <p>The section "Method" has to be extended with detailed descriptions of the number of articles extracted from each database, inclusion and exclusion criteria, extraction template, if used, etc.</p> <p>The paper is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The Results and Discussion are OK. References listed are sufficient</p> <p>In addition:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A careful second read and use of spell check, it will help authors to avoid some minor mistakes, add missing commas and spaces, etc.</li> <li>2. Agreement is an important concept in grammar. Nouns must agree with their verbs. Application of a grammar check will help.</li> <li>3. For the good order, the full name has to be added when an abbreviation is used for the first time in the text: starting from page 2: SDG = Sustainable Development Goals; ARV drugs = antiretroviral drugs, FSW = female sex workers, etc.</li> <li>4. Although the manuscript formatting as per journal format is not mandatory during initial submission for review, the citations in the text should follow one and the same format. The reference number in brackets should indicate citations in the text.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Malina Jordanova</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Space Research and Technology Institute, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Bulgaria</b>