

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_109728
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Health Risks of Emerging Trace Elements in Fish, Bobo Croaker (<i>Pseudotolithus elongatus</i>) from Buguma Creek, Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>[1] Yes it's a good paper and will contribute to the development of fishery industry especially in the health risks associated with trace elements in Bobo Croaker (<i>Pseudotolithus elongatus</i>).</p> <p>[2] The title is suitable for the study.</p> <p>[3] This is an interesting study on identifying the health risks of Emerging Trace Elements in Fish, Bobo Croaker (<i>Pseudotolithus elongatus</i>) from Buguma Creek, Southern Nigeria. Please mention in you choose the fish type for the study. Why not other type of fish?</p> <p>[4] When it comes to discussions of the brain, the finding is interesting. The subsection is good. But please amend the conclusions. Make it more comprehensive.</p> <p>[5] Yes it is correct, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>[6] It is suggested that the writer add more literature review of the subject matter. Please provide relevant pictures of the subject in the paper.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need some paragraph rephrase in some part especially in the methodology section. The methodology paragraph is so short. Please add some more words.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is well-written, organised, and of high quality with some amendment accordingly. I would be happy to recommend the manuscript for Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research as it is an example of good study on the subject matter.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eleanor Daniella Binti Lokman
Department, University & Country	Malaysia