

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_109547
Title of the Manuscript:	Emerging Drinking Water Borne Diseases; A Review on Types, Sources and Health Precaution
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.The manuscript is important for the scientific community. It makes references to important results from drinking water contamination studies carried out over the last 10 to 15 years, which can assist in the development of new technologies for treating and monitoring water for human consumption.</p> <p>2.Yes, this is in line with the study.</p> <p>3.The abstract is very well written and objective.</p> <p>4. I believe the structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript presents a review on a topic that has been much discussed in the scientific community over time, but which still remains a relevant subject. As far as writing the article is concerned, I recommend greater care, the text is quite repetitive.</p> <p>6.The references are sufficient, but no references are cited from 2020 onwards. I believe that with the pandemic, many publications must have appeared with this focus.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the article in English is very adequate and objective.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Teresa Cristina Brazil de Paiva
Department, University & Country	University of São Paulo, Brazil