

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_109246
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Review on Pathophysiology and Alternative Therapies for Parkinsons Disease.
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The authors work with an interesting topic. However, I was missing more detailed insights in the actual information of this review regarding the pathophysiological content of Parkinson's disease. For instance, I would like to see more comprehensive information and references in each topic. Thus, some issues need to be addressed before it can be published.</p> <p>1. In the introduction part, the author mentioned the general content of risk factors of neurodegenerative diseases, but not focusing on PD. Therefore, the authors should provide more information on the pathophysiology of PD.</p> <p>2. Reference no. 2, 3 are more frequently cited in the text, please add more references in each topic and provide more depth-information.</p> <p>3. The authors should check the reference number in the text and in the reference list. For example, in 2.2.5 Resistance Training the authors wrote ref. 6-8 which is not related with the content.</p> <p>4. The figure 1-6 should be stated in the text for more understanding.</p> <p>5. Please check the figures to make sure that are clearer to read.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The manuscript has to be re-read for English and some words are missing</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ratchaniporn Kongsui
Department, University & Country	School of Medical Sciences, University of Phayao, Thailand