

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109671
Title of the Manuscript:	Regression Models for key Economic Savannah tree Species. A case study of Parkia biglobosa in the Guinea Savanah Ecological Zone of Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important especially in the savanna ecological zone of Ghana.</p> <p>The title is suitable. However, some of the spellings and observations have been noted and needs to be corrected.</p> <p>The abstract is fairly comprehensive. Issues were raised and should be addressed.</p> <p>Yes, they are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript requires a number of revisions to improve it and make it fit for publication. Some issues were raised on the methodology and sample size which the author needs to address.</p> <p>The author(s) should cite more recent works.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is fairly good. But should be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>(i) The author needs to work on the grammatical mistakes in the manuscript and check spellings properly. (ii) The methodology can be improved. (iii) The author(s) should stick to a single style of reference. (iv) The introduction doesn't look professional enough. It can be improved.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farhan Jimba Moshood
Department, University & Country	University of Ibadan, Nigeria