

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_109256
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HABITUAL PHYSICAL ACTIVITIES AND HYPERTENSION IN BENGALI MALE ADOLESCENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>NO</p> <p>OK</p> <p>NO. The statistics is not appropriate. A lot of issue with statistics.</p> <p>YES</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Some correction is needed in anthropometric measurement.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In my opinion there are a lot of limitations in the present study. 1: Hypertension (HTn) IS primarily related to BMI. Though they have measured it but not correlated with it. 2: BMI at age 17 is lower than age 16 but prevalence of HTn is more in age 17. 3: Table 5 and 6 mentioned about multivariate analysis but is appears as bivariate analysis. 4: Since this a cross sectional study and not a prospective study, so risk of HTn is not a appropriate word and change this with prevalence of HTn in the manuscript. 5: In table 5 and 6 many parameter shows p value &lt;0.05 but CI in all crosses 1. This shows that there is issue with statistics. Kindly reanalyse the data.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shailendra Kumar Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>