

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_108618</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Gaussian Generalized Woodall Numbers</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case Study</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. It is interesting but not very popular. So it would be read by some mathematicians who are working exactly to this subject.</p> <p>2. Yes it is.</p> <p>3. Yes it is.</p> <p>4. No, they are not.</p> <p>5. Yes it is.</p> <p>6. Yes, they are.</p> <p>The manuscript is not well presented. The author does not explain what elements are used in the article (e.g he does not explain what are Woodall numbers or what is Binet formula). The author also, does not define and explain all the symbols to the reader. Necessary chapters such as Results and Conclusions are missing.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alkis Mazaris</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Zurich, Switzerland</b>