

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_108896
Title of the Manuscript:	Adaptation of Technology on Products and Services Related in the Banking Industry: Challenges and Solutions, A Narratives Literature Reviews
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This is a kind of scoping review, the important of manuscript is specifically academic study on what issues have been highlighted in scholarly works.</p> <p>2. Suitable to picture whole of manuscript.</p> <p>3. For narrative review, an abstract for a literature review should describe five elements such as the relations under investigation , eligibility criteria, types of participants , results, and conclusions. All elements are explained in abstract.</p> <p>4. Organization of the structure is appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is considered valid review approach as it having clear scope and it corresponds with inclusion criteria</p> <p>6. All references are relevant to the scope of study, Need to add-in with latest studies [2022-2023]</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Need for minor revised.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zuriadah Ismail
Department, University & Country	Sultan Idris Education University, Malaysia