

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_109116</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Compressive Strength of Palm Fibre Reinforced Compressed Earth Blocks</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The importance of the manuscript, "Compressive Strength of Palm Fiber Reinforced Compressed Earth Blocks," for the scientific community lies in its potential to offer innovative, sustainable solutions for construction materials. If it demonstrates improved environmental impact, practical applications, and contributes to advancing scientific knowledge while addressing current industry challenges, it can be considered important for the field.</p> <p>2. I suggest this title "Enhancing Earth-Based Building Materials: A Study on Palm Fiber Reinforcement and Compressive Strength" This alternative title provides a slightly broader context for the research while still highlighting the key elements of the study.</p> <p>3. The Manuscript's abstract is weak compared to the experimental work of the researcher, thus it has to be improved slightly.</p> <p>4. The review section is poor; many works have presented the effect of palm Fibre on the Reinforced Compressed Earth Blocks to come up with a practical proposition about the utilization of palm Fibre as an admixture. The author should review some of these works in the review section.</p> <p>5. if the experimental work is done in the correct procedure it is more likely to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. the references sufficient and recent</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The conclusion must be more scientifically accurate and discuss the percentages of each case and its impact more accurately	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Shaymaa Alsamia</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Széchenyistván University, Hungary</b>