

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Engineering Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JERR_109116 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Compressive Strength of Palm Fibre Reinforced Compressed Earth Blocks |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, the research establishes the scientific approach of using native fibres to improve the strength of earth brick.</p> <p>Yes, the title reflects the content of publication.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract contains satisfactory information of research focus, methodology, materials, results, and conclusion.</p> <p>Yes, appropriate. However, a deeper discussion and comparison of research finding with previous publications would benefit future readers.</p> <p>Yes, the experimental works were performed according to the standard.</p> <p>Yes. The references are sufficient.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | The quality of paper is satisfactory. However it is recommended for the author to extend his/her discussion by comparing the data with previous study on earth brick. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Anas Ibrahim |
| Department, University & Country | College of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia |