

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_108603
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIAPAPETANT AND REPELLENT EFFECT OF THE BIOPESTICIDES ASTOUN 50 EC AND NECO 50 EC ON ELDANA SACCHARINA Walker (LEPIDOPTERA: PYRALIDAE) UNDER CONTROLLED CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the work is very good and is timely with respect to the present agricultural conditions</p> <p>The title needs a bit attention with respect to the word 'ANTIAPATENANT' as the script does not covers it or justifies it anywhere else. If suits a suitably written word can be 'ANTI APERTANT'</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is very well written and gives a brief on the study conducted</p> <p>Yes the subsections fits well in the manuscript</p> <p>Yes, it is a good piece of work and well presented. However care should be taken to spell check and modifications at some places</p> <p>As per the study reported in the manuscript the references are sufficient and well discussed</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There is no issue with the quality of language and English used, however at some places proper correction with respect to scientific names should be done before the final publication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Title of the script needs attention please check the suitable word or spell check the right one. The manuscript holds the word only at two places in the script and that too with different spellings at each place thus the same is creating confusion</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aparana Sharma
Department, University & Country	JNKVV, College of Agriculture, India