

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_108769 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of performance of Turmeric (<i>Curcuma longa</i> L.) varieties in Dharmapuri district of Tamil Nadu |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, it is relatively important wherein they can recommend high yielding variety based on the result of the Research</p> <p>Yes, its suitable</p> <p>Yes, its comprehensive but in abstract limit the inclusion of importance of crop, go directly to the results and recommendation</p> <p>Sub-section is appropriate but in the Tables 1-3, I can't see any level of significance among means and footnote saying that Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at 5 % level using XLSTAT or what ever statistical tool they used</p> <p>I observed that some of the words are not in passed tense. Also in the materials and method mention few statement under management practices what fertilizer recommendation (Organic or In-organic) and type of irrigation practices they implied.</p> <p>References were sufficient Again, the names of researchers and institution they belong in the Abstract portion is missing</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | English quality is suitable for scholarly communication | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | Needs minor revisions on tenses and Summary Tables | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Name: | Arturo O. Manipon |
| Department, University & Country | Philippines |