

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108780
Title of the Manuscript:	LONG TERM AND SEASONAL RAINFALL TREND IN BAPATLA DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH USING ADVANCED STATISTICAL METHODS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript's importance is primarily determined by the contribution it makes to the existing body of scientific knowledge. It presenting novel concepts, ideas, or discoveries are often of greater importance. High-quality research, conducted with rigorous methods and appropriate controls, is more likely to be important to the scientific community. The manuscript's relevance to the field and its potential to impact related research or applications can influence its importance. Ultimately, the scientific community evaluates the importance of a manuscript through peer review, citations, and ongoing discussion and debate within the field.</p> <p>2. NUMERICAL ASSESSMENT OF SEASONAL RAINFALL TREND IN BAPATLA DISTRICT OF ANDHRA PRADESH USING ADVANCED STATISTICAL METHODS</p> <p>3. The abstract should be revised, including a specific method, possible outcome and novelty of the research.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript should be revised and precises.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct if some parts of the article are revised.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient and recent. The references should be revised according to the guideline of the journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language/English quality of the article should be improved for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Introduction and methods are written very concisely. In this section, it is necessary to discuss the details of sampling, data measurement methods, theory and mechanism of the model used, how to calibrate and evaluate the methods, etc.</p> <p>In conclusion, in addition to confirming the performance of the used methods, the following question needs to be answered. What can the results of this study and the use of this methods help to solve the existing challenge?</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ranojit Kumar Dutta
Department, University & Country	City University, Bangladesh