

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109742
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on Implementing Abstraction Ability Literacy in Junior High School Mathematics Classroom Teaching
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The subject addressed in the manuscript is important for scientific community, and to the mathematic education and practical instruction.</p> <p>2. The title is not suitable, after all, this is not a research article, so I suggest a title like: " Implementing Abstraction Ability Literacy in Junior High School Mathematics Classroom Teaching: strategies to apply".</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive but not sufficient, because it lacks detail or description of the type of article, goals, design, the target audience, or the attribution of it.</p> <p>4. The structure is hierarchal, connotation, requirements, description of the current situation and implementation strategies.</p> <p>5. Yes, it's scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. So the references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language is propriate and suitable.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enas Easa
Department, University & Country	Achva College, Israel