

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_109167
Title of the Manuscript:	Curative Therapy of Sickle Cell Disease using Gene Editing Technologies
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, but the manuscript needs minor revision. It should be written with current literatures.</p> <p>Yes,</p> <p>Yes,</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No, it need revision</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The over all of the manuscript is good but it need revision. The manuscript should be written with current reference as much as possible. Some paragraphs are without reference. So this should be corrected. On the other hand some references are old. So it should be provided with current reference. Table 1 could be written with reference i.e. each method mentioned in the table should be written with reference.</p> <p>The manuscript should be written with current references as much as possible. Some paragraphs are without reference. So this should be corrected. On the other hand some references are old. So it should be provided with current reference. Table 1 should be written with reference i.e. each method mentioned in the table could be written with reference.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fekede Meshesha Namu
Department, University & Country	Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia