

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_103133
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF HIGH-STRENGTH INSULATING REFRACTORY BRICKS USING LOCALLY SOURCED MATERIALS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but it could be improved.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but it could be improved</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No apply.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is an interesting manuscript about refractory bricks prepared locally with innovative and natural components. It merits to be published; however please consider the following comments and suggestions before acceptance: i) in the abstract is suggested to highlight materials, methodology previous mention the future perspectives to transfer the technology and knowledge further. ii) in the introduction it could be added a mention about other types of bricks related to compare, patents, etc. if it is applied. In the same way, consider to add more information related with materials and properties. iii) about methods; there is missing details in the procedures for their fabrication. Please check that. iv) please consider to add Fig. 1 to the section of results. v) in the section of results is missing redaction. It should be added description, observations, etc. to then discuss, and conclude. vi) the conclusions should be improved based on the results, tables and figures added. It should highlight the properties of the bricks.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Bracamonte
Department, University & Country	National University of Cordoba, Argentina