

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_109285
Title of the Manuscript:	A RESEARCH ON THE EFFECTS OF ORIENTATION TRAINING GIVEN TO STUDENTS GOING ABROAD WITH THE ERASMUS PROGRAM
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript explores the impact of orientation training for students participating in the Erasmus Program at Düzce University, focusing on its contribution to students' academic, social, and personal development. While the study's findings are relevant to universities aiming to enhance their orientation programs, the significance of this research to the broader scientific community may be somewhat limited. The study's focus on a specific program and university may restrict its generalizability to a wider context. To increase its relevance to the scientific community, the manuscript should discuss the broader implications of its findings and how they might apply to other educational institutions and programs.</p> <p>The title of the article, "The Impact of Erasmus Program Orientation Training on Students' Academic, Social, and Personal Development: A Case Study at Düzce University," is suitable and informative. It accurately reflects the content and scope of the research. However, the researcher wrote very basically. There should be more academic quantifying methods to present the finding from the research.</p> <p>The abstract provides a reasonable overview of the research, summarizing the study's objectives, key findings, and implications. However, it could be improved by including some quantitative results or effect sizes to give readers a better understanding of the study's findings.</p> <p>The manuscript is generally well-structured with clear subsections, making it easy to follow. However, it would benefit from a more detailed methods section, including information about the sample size, data collection methods, and statistical analyses used. Providing these details would help readers better understand the study's methodology and reliability.</p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, and the findings align with the research objectives. The authors use appropriate language and references to support their claims. However, as mentioned previously, a more detailed methods section and a discussion of limitations would enhance the manuscript's scientific rigor.</p> <p>The references provided in the manuscript are relevant to the research topic, and they include some recent sources. However, the manuscript could benefit from a more comprehensive discussion of related research in the introduction and a more extensive review of the literature. Additionally, the authors should consider incorporating more recent sources to ensure the research is up to date and reflects the latest developments in the field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Somewhat	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript has potential, but it could be strengthened by addressing the issues outlined above, particularly by providing more methodological details and discussing the limitations of the study. Additionally, expanding the literature review and discussing the broader implications of the findings would increase its value to the scientific community.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enyiazu Franca Adanne
Department, University & Country	Southwest University, Nigeria