

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109625
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance evaluation of remote controlled paddy weeder in different soils
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community if only the author/s rewrites following the scientific order.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract lacks logical order as it is weakly written. Please strengthen this section with details.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate except in the abstract section. The introduction section is also inadequate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct but further improvement is to be done on it.</p> <p>6. The references are grotesquely inadequate. In-text citations are also highly limited. Author/s need to include more additional recent citations.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Few grammatical/structural editing is required to make the article scholarly and suitable for communication. See track change comments in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is good but priority should be given to inadequate inclusions especially citations in order to make it much more suitable for wider consumption.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Philip Jimia Kamanda
Department, University & Country	Njala University, Sierra Leone