

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109284
Title of the Manuscript:	Pineapple cultivation in Uttar Pradesh central zone: challenges and opportunities
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, manuscript appears to be an important contribution. It talks about the pros and cons of growing pineapples, how to deal with pests and diseases, possible market growth, and how to adapt to different climates and soils. 2. The title seems appropriate as it clearly states the subject of the study 3. The abstract gives a thorough summary of the manuscript, talking about problems with the climate and soil, controlling pests and diseases, farmers' lack of knowledge, market demand, the chance to grow different crops, and the possibility of adding value through processing. It gives a short summary of the research's main points, which makes it complete. 4. The manuscript's structure includes a range of relevant subsections. The structure is methodically organized and appropriate for the content. 5. In my view, the highlighted manuscript is semi-scientific. It only talks about the normal and general theoretical parts of the pineapple tree issue. It doesn't talk about the issues that will come up in Uttar Pradesh. 6. The references seem to span a range of years, including some more recent studies. Additional references could include more current research on climate-adaptive agricultural practices or the latest developments in pineapple cultivation technology, provided that they are relevant. 7. My suggestion is that the author should focus more on the suitability of the climate (Uttar Pradesh) for pineapple growth . The author needs to go into more depth about the problems and how to solve them instead of just skimming the surface(each subsection, author do the same thing). 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and English quality of the manuscript are generally suitable for scholarly communication. Nevertheless, there are several errors in sentence structure and inconsistency in the use of symbols. For instance, the temperature unit Celsius should be abbreviated as °C, and it is important to avoid using measurement units that lack precision.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>It is suggested to make improvements to the content so that it is more detailed and in-depth.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Asraf Bin Hairuddin
Department, University & Country	School of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia