

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_109487
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and Characterization of Cobalt(II), Nickel(II), Copper(II) and Zn(II) complexes with N'-( phenyl ( pyridin-2-yl)methylene)nicotinohydrazide
Type of the Article	Short communication

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)												
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes up to certain extent. Manuscript just gives the synthesis of complexes It does not give any application and uses of complexes so it is not very important for scientific community.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>Manuscript has certain scientific and grammatical mistakes which have been highlighted.</p> <table> <tr> <td>Mistake</td> <td>correct</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.obtain</td> <td>obtained</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.are</td> <td>were</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.powders</td> <td>powder</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.1558</td> <td>1558 cm<sup>-1</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.are</td> <td>were</td> </tr> </table> <p>Some more recent references may be included No data have been given for other complexes.The magnetic and conductivity studies are insufficient.Application part is needed.</p>	Mistake	correct	1.obtain	obtained	2.are	were	3.powders	powder	4.1558	1558 cm <sup>-1</sup>	5.are	were	
Mistake	correct													
1.obtain	obtained													
2.are	were													
3.powders	powder													
4.1558	1558 cm <sup>-1</sup>													
5.are	were													
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English requires some corrections which have been highlighted.</p>													
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Manuscript is suitable for publication after above corrections.</p>													

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R. K. Upadhyay</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>