

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_108877
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF INCORPORATING SOME ARABLE CROPS IN PLANTATION ESTABLISHMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. All manuscripts are important for the progress of the scientific community. Including this paper which explains the actual conditions in Southern Africa, so that those of us who are far from that location can understand the actual situation.</p> <p>2. Regarding the title raised, it is in accordance with the content and discussion. But if there was a slight change to "ECONOMIC BENEFITS OF INCORPORATING SOME ARABLE CROPS IN PLANTATION ESTABLISHMENT WITH THE CONCEPT OF AGROFORESTRY" it would be considered more appropriate.</p> <p>3. Abstract writing is comprehensive, starting from raising problems then bringing up potential, complemented by carrying out analysis. Closed with a conclusion according to the title, regarding an explanation of the benefits of developing plantations with the agroforestry concept.</p> <p>4. Overall, the sub-sections and structure of the manuscript writing are appropriate.</p> <p>5. This manuscript can be said to be scientific.</p> <p>6. Some of the references used in writing this manuscript are not the latest research, it is recommended that improvements be made to the references used. At least 5 years ago from the year of writing.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>This article is considered suitable for scientific communication, provided that there must be improvements so that this manuscript becomes better.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This manuscript can be said to be scientific. but it would be better to make further improvements in the writing. As research evidence appears, it is accompanied by data that supports the research results, for example farmer income data, agricultural production data, land distribution data related to crops cultivated in detail. So that readers can better understand the facts in the field.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Jorgy Lazuardi Labunove Ismi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Siliwangi, Indonesia</b>